

- lization in liver transplantation: effects of patient characteristics and clinical practice. *JAMA* 1999;281:1381-6.
24. Falagas ME, Arbo M, Ruthazer R, Griffith JL, Werner BG, Rohrer R, et al. Cytomegalovirus disease is associated with increased cost and hospital length of stay among orthotopic liver transplant recipients. *Transplantation* 1997;63:1595-601.
25. Rubin RH, Kemmerly SA, Conti D, Doran M, Murray BM, Neylan JF, et al. Prevention of primary cytomegalovirus disease in organ transplant recipients with oral ganciclovir or oral acyclovir prophylaxis [see comment]. *Transpl Infect Dis* 2000;2:112-7. Comment in: *Transpl Infect Dis* 2000;2:99-100.
26. Barkholt L, Lewensohn-Fuchs I, Ericzon BG, Tyden G, Andersson J. High-dose acyclovir prophylaxis reduces cytomegalovirus disease in liver transplant patients. *Transpl Infect Dis* 1999;1:89-97.
27. Payne JL, McCarty KR, Drougas JG, Chapman WC, Wright JK, Pinson NY, et al. Outcomes analysis for 50 liver transplant recipients: the Vanderbilt experience. *Am Surg* 1996;62:320-5.
28. Schulak JA, Ferguson RM, Hanto DW, Ryckman FC, Vogt DP, Bohnengel A. Liver transplantation in Ohio. *Surgery* 1997;122:842-9.
29. Williams JW, Vera S, Evans LS. Socioeconomic aspects of hepatic transplantation. *Am J Gastroenterol* 1987;82:1115-9.
30. Wong LL, McFall P, Wong LM. The cost of dying of end-stage liver disease. *Arch Intern Med* 1997;157:1429-32.
31. Gold MR, Siegel JE, Russell LB, Weinstein MC. *Cost-effectiveness in health and medicine*. Oxford: Oxford University Press; 1996.
32. MacFarlane JK. Symposium on ambulatory surgery: principles, practices, pitfalls. *Can J Surg* 1997;40:259-63.
33. Leyendecker B, Bartholomew U, Neuhaus R, Horhold M, Blumhardt G, Neuhaus P, et al. Quality of life of liver transplant recipients. A pilot study. *Transplantation* 1993;56:561-7.
34. Belle SH, Porayko MK, Hoofnagle JH, Lake JR, Zetterman RK. Changes in quality of life after liver transplantation among adults. National Institute of Diabetes and Digestive and Kidney Diseases (NIDDK) Liver Transplantation Database (LTD). *Liver Transpl Surg* 1997;3:93-104.

SESAP Question Question SESAP

Category 13, Item 1

Which of the following statements about endoscopic ultrasound study (EUS) for the staging of gastrointestinal cancers is NOT true?

- (A) EUS is superior to computed tomography (CT) in detecting T N stage of esophageal tumors
- (B) Enlarged celiac nodes can be detected and biopsied by EUS
- (C) The sensitivity of EUS for detecting pancreatic malignancies is greater than 90%
- (D) EUS characteristics of benign inflammatory lesions differ from those of malignant lesions
- (E) EUS is more sensitive and specific than CT for staging of pancreatic tumors

For the answer and a critique of item 1 see page 466.

(Reproduced by permission from *SESAP No. 11 2002-2004 Syllabus*, Volume 2. For enrolment in the Surgical Education and Self-Assessment Program, please apply to the American College of Surgeons, 633 North St. Clair St., Chicago IL 60611-3211, USA; tel 312 202-5000; fax 312 202-5001; email postmaster@facs.org)