NORTH–SOUTH SURGICAL TRAINING PARTNERSHIPS

I would like to congratulate Greive-Price, et al. for their recently published excellent systematic review of North–South surgical training partnerships.1 This review should be read by anyone considering establishing or evaluating such a program.

There was an inadvertent omission that I would like to address. In the discussion, the authors cited recent editorials articulating a framework for training partnerships.2–4 In fact, the citations are all a response to the index editorial that first raised the issue of such frameworks in pediatric surgery.5 In that editorial, my co-authors and I confronted the concerns that have stood in the way of enacting effective educational North–South partnerships in our field, presented a model of a successful bidirectional pediatric surgical partnership, and offered mechanisms to avoid surgical adventurism and colonialism.6 After several years of discussion and debate instigated by our article, we understand that the Accreditation Committee for Graduate Medical Education may soon reverse their position and allow such partnerships to take place.
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AUTHOR RESPONSE TO “NORTH–SOUTH SURGICAL TRAINING PARTNERSHIPS”

The authors thank Dr. Emil for the kind words and for highlighting the connections between our cited references, which further contextualizes our findings. We are pleased to hear about the possible developments from the American Board of Surgery and the Accreditation Committee for Graduate Medical Education in allowing bidirectional exchanges in surgical education.
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MORE NOTABLE DEVELOPMENTS FROM THE DEPARTMENT OF SURGERY OF THE UNIVERSITY OF MONTREAL

I read with interest the paper entitled “The Department of Surgery of the Université de Montréal, 70th anniversary,” and found omissions of key developments during those 70 years.1

The first in situ vascular grafts were done by Dr. Paul Cartier of Montreal in 1959.2 McPhail reviewed the history of vascular surgery in Canada in 1995.3 It mentioned the origins of the in situ vein bypass in Montreal, Canada, and in London, England, followed by progression of the original techniques in Europe and the United States. However in 1969, there was criticism of the procedure in the United States, but with the perseverance of Dr. Cartier in Montreal and Dr. Hall in Norway, there was a revival of interest in the technique by Leather and Karmody in Albany, New York.1 Dr. Paul Cartier was made officer of the Ordre National du Québec in 2000, not only for the in situ bypass achievements, but also for the world’s first femoro-femoral bypass in 1959, axillo-femoral bypass, and carotid endarterectomy without shunt in 1962, at the Hotel-Dieu de Montreal.

Let’s not forget the development of minimally invasive surgery of the pituitary gland. During a period when transsphenoidal surgery was on the edge of disappearance, 3 crucial surgeons, Drs. Norman Dott, Gerard Guiot and Jules Hardy, revived the operation, each succeeding at further perfecting the procedure.3 Dr. Jules Hardy, a fellow of Guiot, from Montreal, revolutionized transsphenoidal microsurgery with the introduction of the binocular microscope and selective adenomectomy. The principles of...
Letters

Dr. Hardy was the Order of Canada in 1987, and chevalier of the Ordre National du Québec in 1989.

The contribution of the department in the advancement of breast cancer surgeries and treatments is undeniable, making them less mutilating and increasing survival with numerous publications and participation in National Surgical Adjuvant Breast and Bowel Project (NSABP) randomized controlled trials. Under the mentorship of Dr. Bernard Fisher, Dr. Andre Robidoux became the Director of the Clinical Research Group for breast cancer at Hotel-Dieu and brought NSABP-sponsored trials to more than 5000 breast cancer patients in Montreal. This was supported by 1 of 3 awarded National Cancer Institute treatment and prevention grants for 27 years. In 2010, Dr. Robidoux was a recipient of the Distinguished Investigator Lifetime Achievement Award in recognition of his extraordinary commitment to the NSABP and oncology research. He served as an elected member of the NSABP Foundation board of directors for many years.

Laparoscopic surgery in the early 1990s led to extraordinary developments at the Hotel-Dieu de Montreal, an hospital affiliated with the Université de Montréal. Adrenal surgery changed course with the first report of laparoscopic adrenalectomy (using the lateral decubitus approach) for Cushing syndrome and pheochromocytoma in the New England Journal of Medicine in 1992. This new laparoscopic position also led to facilitating laparoscopic spleenectomy in the lateral decubitus, first performed at Hotel-Dieu and now practised worldwide. The first laparoscopic distal and proximal pancreatectomies were also performed in 1992 and 1993, and the first report of laparoscopic liver resection was in 1991. Also the developmental research of endoscopic thyroidectomy using a porcine model at the Research institute of the Hotel dieu de Montreal led to the first world endoscopic neck surgery the following year at the Cleveland Clinic, and the first endoscopic parathyroidectomy in Canada a few years later, also at the Hotel Dieu de Montreal.

I have mentioned only a few surgeons and techniques, and I am sure the list can be elongated.
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Thanks to Dr. Gupta for his response. While I am aware of the use of metoclopramide in the management of migraines, in my practice and literature review I have not seen its application in multimodal post-operative pain control and would be very interested in further research.
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**A NOVEL APPROACH FOR POSTOPERATIVE PAIN MANAGEMENT AFTER DISCHARGE**

I welcomed the article by Dr. Duncan Rozario, who described the necessary preoperative patient pain education and need for multimodal analgesia in the intra- and postoperative phase.1 The incorporation of intraoperative regional anesthesia is also highlighted and welcomed from a post-operative opioid-sparing profile. In my experience, surgeons do not always have the experience in managing complex pain patients who then undergo surgery for postoperative pain management. Such patients in my experience have difficulty managing their postoperative pain and frequently visit the emergency department for further pain management or poorly managed pain with escalating opioids. There is also an opportunity, especially with orthopedic trauma, amputation, thoracic and other high-risk surgeries, for patients who have pre-existing factors that increase the risk of developing postoperative surgical pain syndrome.2 Such patients can be referred preemptively to the acute pain service and for follow-up at a reputable community-based pain clinic or a transitional pain service if available.

My recommendation for improving service provision to help bridge the gap for patients with complex postsurgical pain leaving the hospital back into the community is a transitional pain service such as the one at Toronto General Hospital.

This is a novel clinical model that provides specialized pain management strategies for patients who require care plans and strategies to help facilitate discharge. Patients are then seen in the outpatient setting to help increase functioning, optimize pain control, and receive support.

A transitional pain service team also has the ability to follow patients from the point of preadmitting, before a surgery takes place, to review pain management techniques and to prepare for what can be expected during their hospital stay, education and community support. Community support can be in the form of outpatient clinics, or with follow-up at a local community pain clinic.

Other facets of a transitional pain service are to identify patients with opioid dependency, to minimize adverse effects of pain management and to incorporate a multimodal multidisciplinary care when appropriate. Adverse effects related to opioids include nausea, constipation, sedation, and cognitive issues and may lead to further morbidity and hindrance of recovery.

If there is no transitional pain service available, then attempt to liaise with a community pain clinic for patients to be followed up urgently for complex pain management to facilitate opioid management, rehabilitation services and psychosocial support. This is only possible by developing strong relationships with reputable community pain physicians and clinics.
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Thanks to Dr. Sohanpal for his response. I agree that many surgeons have limited experience in the management of complex pain patients and should consider having these patients seen preoperatively in a pain service setting (such as a transitional pain service) to optimize postoperative outcomes. Patients with issues of dependency, chronic pain, and those on cannabinoids can be challenging to manage after surgery.
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LEVER EFFECT AND THE OPTICAL ILLUSION OF SAFETY IN LAPAROSCOPIC CHOLECYSTECTOMY

Congratulations to Dr. Sutherland and colleagues for putting words to a problem that we have all felt since the introduction of the laparoscopic approach to cholecystectomy over 30 years ago.1 Dissection to the left of the extrahepatic bile duct may create the optical illusion of safety. In the early days, many patients who should have had simple cholecystectomy were referred with bile duct injury. I reported to the annual meeting of the general surgery section of the Royal College that it could be due to lack of awareness that the load force at the end of the laparoscopic instrument is greater than the effort force applied to the handle.2 The lever effect increases the retraction force by the ratio of the length of the instrument outside of the body divided by the length inside, usually about 3:1. The fulcrum, which is the body wall, also permits traction to be applied in the lateral-inferior direction, as described by Sutherland and colleagues, more easily than in open cholecystectomy.

I advise my trainees to ask the critical question, rather than look for the critical view, before they clip and cut anything: Is there a route for this structure (artery or bile duct) to return to the liver? If the answer is yes, the structure might be the right hepatic artery or the extrahepatic bile duct. The area in which the structure might be attached to the liver requires further careful dissection until the team answers no to the critical question.
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I thank Dr. McAlister for his letter commenting on our article. He brings up 2 important points that were not mentioned in the manuscript. The “lever effect” that the long laparoscopic instruments have on increasing the force at the instrument tip is certainly an underappreciated fact. Indeed, this may account for the difficulty many residents have in learning this procedure. Clearly, the harder one pulls on Hartman’s Pouch, the more the bile duct kinks, producing an increasingly convincing illusion.

Experienced surgeons develop many tricks that help them avoid mistakes, and these tricks are not mutually exclusive. We routinely use B-SAFE landmarks and the critical view of safety. Many tricks are subconscious and do not get passed on to our trainees. Looking for a route for any structure to return to liver is a “McAlister Wisdom” that we should impart to all our residents.
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