Surgical cytoreduction and intraperitoneal chemotherapy for peritoneal carcinomatosis arising from the appendix
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Background: Peritoneal carcinomatosis (PC) originating in the appendix is a rare disease for which the long-term prognosis is poor. The aim of our study was to evaluate the results of an aggressive treatment approach used in our institution in the last decade.

Methods: We prospectively collected and analyzed data from all patients with PC. Treatment consisted of complete surgical cytoreduction of the tumour followed by intraperitoneal chemotherapy. Chemotherapy was either early postoperative intraperitoneal chemotherapy (EPIC) or hyperthermic intraperitoneal chemotherapy (HIPEC). We used Ronnett’s classification for tumour grading (disseminated peritoneal adenomucinosis = grade 0, peritoneal mucinous carcinomatosis with intermediate features = grade 1 and peritoneal mucinous carcinomatosis = grade 2).

Results: From September 1997 to June 2005, 37 patients underwent laparotomy with curative intent; 13 received EPIC and 11 HIPEC. Thirteen patients could not have complete cytoreductive surgery and received no intraperitoneal chemotherapy. The estimated 5-year overall survival was 56% (95% confidence interval [CI] 34%–77%) for all patients, 58% (95% CI 30%–86%) for patients who underwent EPIC and 60% (95% CI 10%–100%) for patients who underwent HIPEC (p = 0.97). Histologic grade was an important prognostic indicator as all patients with grade 0 tumours survived whereas no patients with grade 2 tumours survived (p < 0.001). Patients with grade 1 tumours had an estimated 87% (95% CI 64%–100%) 5-year overall survival. There was no mortality attributed to surgery. The overall complication rate was 36%, including fistulas (16%), intra-abdominal abscesses (12%) and hemorrhage (9%).

Conclusion: This therapeutic approach seems both feasible and safe in select patients. Patients with high-grade tumours are poor candidates for this treatment.
Peritoneal carcinomatosis (PC) originating in the appendix is an uncommon disease, with poor long-term prognosis. In recent years, a number of studies have been published proposing a novel aggressive treatment approach with curative intent. This approach consists of treating macroscopic PC with complete cytoreductive surgery and treating residual microscopic PC with immediate intraperitoneal chemotherapy. Complete cytoreductive surgery is necessary because experimental studies show that drug penetration is limited to a few cell layers under the surface of the tumour. Intraperitoneal chemotherapy must be immediate to avoid trapping residual tumour cells in the postoperative fibrin adhesions. Immediate intraperitoneal chemotherapy can be delivered in 2 ways: as early postoperative intraperitoneal chemotherapy (EPIC), which is administered in normothermia, or as hyperthermic intraperitoneal chemotherapy (HIPEC), which is delivered intraoperatively along with a heating system. The latter method leads to high drug penetration as completely as possible removing the primary tumour and all visceral or peritoneal surface tumour deposits as completely as possible. Immediate intraperitoneal chemotherapy because this treatment is efficient only for nodules smaller than 2 mm.

For this series, we defined maximal cytoreductive surgery as resection of all tumour deposits greater than 2 mm in diameter. This condition was mandatory before administering immediate intraperitoneal chemotherapy because this treatment is efficient only for nodules smaller than 2 mm.

We approached the abdomen through a xyphopubic midline incision. After complete adhesiolysis, we confirmed the diagnosis of PC by frozen section and scored the extent of PC according to Sugarbaker’s peritoneal index. This index takes into account the number of invaded areas among a total of 13, and the maximal size of tumour nodules within 3 possible groups (< 5 mm, 5 mm to 5 cm, > 5 cm). When PC seemed resectable, we removed the primary tumour and all visceral or peritoneal surface tumour deposits as completely as possible by using peritoneectomy procedures, as described by Sugarbaker. Macroscopically detectable disease had to be completely resected before administering intraperitoneal chemotherapy. However, the presence of remaining tumour seeding smaller than 2 mm in diameter was allowed if it was located on the small bowel or stomach. Depending on the extent of disease, complete cytoreductive surgery may have required several hours to perform. If, after thorough exploration, we considered the PC resection to be incomplete, no immediate intraperitoneal chemotherapy was administered and patients received palliative surgery.

Immediate intraperitoneal chemotherapy

We performed immediate intraperitoneal chemotherapy to allow for optimal exposure to the chemotherapy solution before any adhesions formed that might impair the distribution of the drug. Patients underwent either EPIC (1998–2002) or HIPEC (2002–2005). The EPIC procedure consisted of administering normothermic intraperitoneal chemotherapy for 5 days in the immediate postoperative period. We installed 3 drains (Tenkhoff catheters) for intraperitoneal drug instillation. We administered mitomycin C (10 mg/m²) on day 1 and 5-fluorouracil (15 mg/kg) on days 2–5, given in a 2-L solution 23h/d, according to the procedure described by Sugarbaker. The intra-abdominal contents were thus soaked in the chemotherapy solution for 5 days, and we unclamped the drains thereafter to allow for complete evacuation of the drugs.

Surgery for peritoneal carcinomatosis

For this series, we defined maximal cytoreductive surgery as resection of all tumour deposits greater than 2 mm in diameter. This condition was mandatory before administering immediate intraperitoneal chemotherapy because this treatment is efficient only for nodules smaller than 2 mm.

We approached the abdomen through a xyphopubic midline incision. After complete adhesiolysis, we confirmed the diagnosis of PC by frozen section and scored the extent of PC according to Sugarbaker's peritoneal index. This index takes into account the number of invaded areas among a total of 13, and the maximal size of tumour nodules within 3 possible groups (< 5 mm, 5 mm to 5 cm, > 5 cm). When PC seemed resectable, we removed the primary tumour and all visceral or peritoneal surface tumour deposits as completely as possible by using peritoneectomy procedures, as described by Sugarbaker. Macroscopically detectable disease had to be completely resected before administering intraperitoneal chemotherapy. However, the presence of remaining tumour seeding smaller than 2 mm in diameter was allowed if it was located on the small bowel or stomach. Depending on the extent of disease, complete cytoreductive surgery may have required several hours to perform. If, after thorough exploration, we considered the PC resection to be incomplete, no immediate intraperitoneal chemotherapy was administered and patients received palliative surgery.

Immediate intraperitoneal chemotherapy

We performed immediate intraperitoneal chemotherapy to allow for optimal exposure to the chemotherapy solution before any adhesions formed that might impair the distribution of the drug. Patients underwent either EPIC (1998–2002) or HIPEC (2002–2005). The EPIC procedure consisted of administering normothermic intraperitoneal chemotherapy for 5 days in the immediate postoperative period. We installed 3 drains (Tenkhoff catheters) for intraperitoneal drug instillation. We administered mitomycin C (10 mg/m²) on day 1 and 5-fluorouracil (15 mg/kg) on days 2–5, given in a 2-L solution 23h/d, according to the procedure described by Sugarbaker. The intra-abdominal contents were thus soaked in the chemotherapy solution for 5 days, and we unclamped the drains thereafter to allow for complete evacuation of the drugs.
The HIPEC procedure consisted of the delivery of intraperitoneal chemotherapy intraoperatively along with a heating system. We used oxaliplatin in all but 1 patient (this patient received mitomycin C) because of its efficacy against colorectal cancer, and because it is much shorter to administer than mitomycin C, according to a phase I study.18 We performed HIPEC with a continuous closed circuit using 4 36-French drains (2 inlets and 2 outlets) connected to 2 pumps. We used 1 heating unit and 2 heat exchangers to eliminate a Y connector that could reduce flow rates and heat homogeneity.19 The procedure took place with the abdomen open and the skin sutured to a retractor ring placed above the anterior surface of the abdomen. The flow rate was 1 L/min for each pump. Four thermal probes inside the peritoneal cavity provided continuous temperature feedback, and the intra-abdominal temperature was maintained everywhere between 42°C and 43°C. The duration of perfusion was 30 minutes from the time when optimal temperature (42–44°C) was reached. Usually, less than 5 minutes were necessary to reach a high homogeneous temperature, leading to a total peritoneal infusion duration of about 35 minutes. Afterwards, the infusion liquid was completely evacuated. The total oxaliplatin dose was delivered as a bolus mixed with 5% dextrose at the beginning of the procedure. The total amount of peritoneal fluid used was based, as for oxaliplatin dosage, on body surface area: 2 L/m². Dosage of oxaliplatin was 460 mg/m², as recommended in a previous study in humans.18 Instillation volume and oxaliplatin dosage both resulted in a similar intraperitoneal concentration of the drug in each patient.

Pathology

An experienced pathologist (A.M.) performed the pathologic classification. When surgery of the primary tumour was performed in an institution other than ours, the pathology material was sent to us for revision. We performed the tumour grading of both primary (when available) and peritoneal deposits according to Ronnett’s histologic classification.33 We considered disseminated peritoneal adenomucinosis to be a grade 0 tumour; the tumour was characterized histologically by the presence of scant low-grade adenomatous mucinous epithelium within abundant extracellular mucin and associated fibrosis. We classified peritoneal mucinous carcinomatosis as a grade 2 tumour; this tumour had the cytologic and architectural features of higher-grade mucinous carcinoma associated with extracellular mucin, often with invasive components and sometimes demonstrated signet ring cell differentiation. A grade 1 tumour consisted of peritoneal mucinous carcinomatosis with intermediate features combining grade 0 and grade 2 characteristics; such tumours were derived from well-differentiated mucinous adenocarcinomas of the appendix.

Systemic chemotherapy

We administered systemic chemotherapy preoperatively for 3–6 months among patients with extensive disease. The aim of this systemic treatment was to diminish the tumour burden and maximize the chances of complete surgical cytoreduction thereafter. We administered adjuvant systemic chemotherapy only among patients who had grade 2 disease for 6 months. Chemotherapy consisted of 5-fluorouracil in addition to irinotecan or oxaliplatin once these drugs became available. In our institution, systemic chemotherapy for digestive tumours is administered by surgical oncologists.

Follow-up

We saw patients at the outpatient clinic in 3- to 4-month intervals, and we performed a physical examination. We obtained a CT scan of the abdomen and pelvis every 6 months for 5 years, and yearly thereafter.

Statistical analysis

We prospectively collected all data. No patient was lost during follow-up. We established survival curves using the Kaplan–Meier method, and we compared the results using the log-rank test. We considered the differences to be significant at \( p \leq 0.05 \).

RESULTS

From September 1997 to June 2005, 37 patients with a PC originating in the appendix underwent laparotomy

Fig. 1. Patient distribution. EPIC = early postoperative intraperitoneal chemotherapy; HIPEC = hyperthermic intraperitoneal chemotherapy.
with curative intent. There were 17 men and 20 women, with a mean age of 51 (33–73) years. The primary tumour had been removed in 20 patients. At laparotomy, 12 patients had nonresectable disease, either because the PC was too extensive or because of intraoperative discovery of visceral metastasis (Fig. 1). Two of these patients underwent repeat surgery with successful cytoreduction and intraperitoneal chemotherapy after 6 months of systemic chemotherapy. Three other patients who received initial diagnoses of a mucinous tumour of the appendix with limited peritoneal disease had no evidence of PC when we performed a second-look laparotomy in our centre 6 months later. These patients had undergone appendectomy along with the complete removal of peritoneal tumours at another centre before being referred to us. Hence, these patients did not receive intraperitoneal chemotherapy.

We performed complete surgical cytoreduction followed by immediate intraperitoneal chemotherapy in 24 patients: 13 EPIC and 11 HIPEC. Twelve of these patients with extensive peritoneal disease underwent preoperative systemic chemotherapy (including the 2 patients who had repeat surgery). Details of the surgical parameters are outlined in Table 1. There was no mortality attributed to surgery. The overall complication rate was 36%, including fistulas (16%), intra-abdominal abscesses (12%) and hemorrhage (9%). One patient in the HIPEC group experienced grade 2 neuropathy that lasted for 1 week after surgery. That same patient also experienced grade 3 thrombocytopenia 1 week postoperatively. There was no statistically significant difference in the complication rates between the EPIC and HIPEC groups.

Final pathology reports showed diffuse peritoneal adenomucinosis (grade 0) in 5 patients (21%; EPIC n = 2, HIPEC n = 3), an intermediate type (grade 1) in 12 patients (50%; EPIC n = 7, HIPEC n = 5) and peritoneal mucinous carcinomatosis (grade 2) in 7 patients (29%; EPIC n = 4, HIPEC n = 3).

We administered systemic adjuvant chemotherapy in the 7 patients with grade 2 tumours. Treatments started within 6–10 weeks after surgery, depending on the patients’ conditions.

**Survival rates**

Median follow-up was 23 (range 7–81) months for the entire series. The estimated 5-year overall survival rate for the entire series was 56% (95% confidence interval [CI] 34%–77%) and 59% (95% CI 29%–88%) for patients who underwent immediate intraperitoneal chemotherapy (Fig. 2). The estimated 5-year overall survival for patients who underwent EPIC was 58% (95% CI 30%–86%), and 60% (95% CI 10%–100%) for patients who underwent HIPEC (Fig. 3). There was no statistically significant difference between these groups with regards to survival. At the time of data analysis, 5 patients had isolated peritoneal recurrence, 4 patients had isolated peritoneal recurrence and 1 patient had both.

---

**Table 1.** Intraoperative data for 24 patients who received complete cytoreductive surgery followed by immediate intraperitoneal chemotherapy

<table>
<thead>
<tr>
<th>Parameter</th>
<th>Mean</th>
<th>SD</th>
<th>Median</th>
<th>Range</th>
</tr>
</thead>
<tbody>
<tr>
<td>Peritoneal index*</td>
<td>13.6</td>
<td>7.5</td>
<td>14</td>
<td>1–27</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>No. of organ resections</td>
<td>1.4</td>
<td>1.0</td>
<td>1</td>
<td>0–4</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>No. of anastomoses</td>
<td>0.8</td>
<td>0.4</td>
<td>1</td>
<td>0–2</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Duration of surgery, min</td>
<td>257</td>
<td>133</td>
<td>240</td>
<td>95–560</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Blood loss, mL</td>
<td>876</td>
<td>654</td>
<td>755</td>
<td>100–3500</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Length of stay, d</td>
<td>20.9</td>
<td>8.6</td>
<td>13</td>
<td>4–104</td>
</tr>
</tbody>
</table>

SD = standard deviation.

*This index can range from 1 to 39. The 13 areas of the abdominal cavity are scored as follows: 0 when there is no tumour deposit, 1 when tumour deposit is sized between 0 and 5 mm, 2 when tumour deposit is sized between 5 mm and 5 cm, and 3 when tumour deposit is sized greater than 5 cm or diffuse.  

---

**Fig. 2.** Overall survival for the entire series (intent-to-treat) and for all patients who underwent complete surgical cytoreduction followed by immediate intraperitoneal chemotherapy. IIPC = immediate intraperitoneal chemotherapy.

**Fig. 3.** Overall survival results: early postoperative intraperitoneal chemotherapy (EPIC) versus intraperitoneal chemohyperthermia (HIPEC).
Histologic grade was an important prognostic indicator since all patients with grade 0 tumours survived, whereas no patients with grade 2 tumours survived ($p < 0.001$). Patients with grade 1 tumours had an estimated 87% (95% CI 64%–100%) 5-year overall survival (Fig. 4). Other parameters such as age, sex, peritoneal index, duration of surgery, blood loss and systemic chemotherapy (neoadjuvant or adjuvant) had no statistically significant influence on survival.

Finally, we also followed the 3 patients who underwent second-look laparotomy and who we found had no disease. The first patient had a grade 0 tumour and was disease-free after 20.6 months. The second had a grade 1 tumour and was disease-free after 13.2 months. The third patient had a grade 2 tumour and received 6 months of adjuvant irinotecan-based systemic chemotherapy after her primary surgery. She was disease-free after 8.6 months.

### DISCUSSION

We started treating PC patients with intraperitoneal chemotherapy more than 7 years ago. Nearly 60% of these patients are still alive today. This is comparable with most large series.\(^1\)\(^2\)\(^3\)\(^4\)\(^5\)\(^6\)\(^7\)\(^8\)\(^9\)\(^10\)\(^12\)\(^34\)\(^38\) This treatment approach is complex, and there is a high risk of complications in the postoperative period. Hence, a multidisciplinary approach by an experienced team is mandatory.

Our study confirmed the correlation between low histologic grade and better prognosis demonstrated in previous studies.\(^1\)\(^3\)\(^9\)\(^10\)\(^16\)\(^18\)\(^26\)\(^34\)\(^38\) Similarly, high peritoneal index has been shown to be an adverse prognostic factor in a series studying colorectal PC.\(^2\)\(^8\) In the presence of high-grade tumours, the procedure is not curative, especially when the peritoneal index is high. All patients in our series with high-grade tumours had a poor outcome (0% survival after 2 years). Conversely, an aggressive approach is warranted when the histopathologic grade is low or very low, even with a high peritoneal index (87% survival at 5 years). Very low-grade disease may represent truly benign disease.\(^5\)\(^42\)

As the surgery is often long, with large fluid shifts and a high risk of postoperative complications, patients in our series had to be relatively young and fit to be considered for the procedure. This is an important factor reflected in the absence of procedure-related deaths. Regarding morbidity, 1 of 3 patients had significant complications after the procedure. Most were infectious (fistulas and abscesses). Intraperitoneal chemotherapy is probably largely responsible for these complications.\(^27\)\(^30\)\(^41\)\(^44\)\(^45\) In spite of its potential deleterious effect on tissue, we did not observe any increase in the complication rate when heat was combined with intraperitoneal chemotherapy. The shorter exposition of viscera to the chemotherapy solution (30 min v. 5 d) may explain this phenomenon.

In our series, HIPEC did not seem more effective than EPIC when used in the treatment of appendiceal PC, but it is impossible to draw any conclusion since the number of patients in each group was too small and there was no randomization. At the beginning of our study, we used EPIC, but when HIPEC became available in 2002 in our institution, we favoured this approach because there was evidence in the literature of increased chemotherapy cytotoxicity when using hyperthermia.\(^1\)\(^9\)\(^20\) Furthermore, HIPEC is more comfortable for the patient and is simpler for the nursing staff. Despite no demonstrable survival advantage, these reasons were deemed reasonable to justify the use of HIPEC.

Patients with a high peritoneal index can be helped by preoperative (neoadjuvant) systemic chemotherapy, even if the histologic grade is low. In our study, 10 patients who received the complete treatment of surgery and immediate intraperitoneal chemotherapy had been treated with neoadjuvant chemotherapy before surgery. Two other patients considered to be initially nonresectable at first laparotomy became potentially curable after neoadjuvant systemic treatment. The increasing availability of irinotecan and oxaliplatin has come to offer some hope for these classically refractory tumours. Furthermore, neoadjuvant treatment may allow for improved patient selection.

During HIPEC, we chose oxaliplatin for its high efficacy against colorectal cancer.\(^1\)\(^5\)\(^10\) Before using this drug in humans, we performed pharmacokinetics studies of oxaliplatin administered in pigs by intraperitoneal route at different temperatures. We found it possible to achieve very high intracellular concentrations of oxaliplatin (potentialized by heat) without substantial systemic absorption, making this technique relatively safe. Based on Élias’ studies\(^1\)\(^6\)\(^14\)\(^26\) and ours, we considered it to be the drug of choice for this disease.

We cannot measure precisely the effect of selecting a particular treatment on the prognosis of patients with diffuse peritoneal adenomucinosis. This would require a randomized trial that would offer complete surgical cytoreduction followed or not by immediate intraperitoneal chemotherapy. Of note, Miner and colleagues\(^1\) recently

![Fig. 4. Overall survival according to histologic grade.](image-url)
reported on the long-term survival of patients who received treatment for pseudomyxoma peritonei by repeat surgical cytoreduction without intraperitoneal chemotherapy, with a median survival of 9.8 years; 21% of patients with low-grade disease survived 10 years.

Finally, for patients with no residual disease at second-look laparotomy 6 months after complete surgical excision of the appendix and PC, our experience showed that they were all disease-free after several months. However, longer follow-up is needed to determine whether prophylactic intraperitoneal chemotherapy is needed when no disease is found at second-look laparotomy, especially for patients with higher-grade tumours.

CONCLUSION

Although the results of this study are preliminary, the treatment of peritoneal carcinomatosis arising from the appendix by complete surgical cytoreduction followed by immediate intraperitoneal chemotherapy seems both feasible and safe in select patients. However, patients with high-grade tumours are poor candidates for this treatment.
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