Arthroscopically assisted percutaneous osteosynthesis of lateral tibial plateau fractures
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Background: The aim of our study was to evaluate the results of lateral tibial plateau fractures treated with arthroscopically assisted percutaneous osteosynthesis (AAPO). Methods: Twenty-one patients (14 men and 7 women) with a mean age of 41 years underwent AAPO to repair low-energy Schatzker I–III tibial plateau fractures. Under pneumatic tourniquet, we reduced and fixed the fracture with 1 or 2 subchondral cannulated screws. Accompanying lesions included 10 meniscus tears, which we partially excised in 9 patients and repaired in 1 patient. On the second postoperative day, patients began range-of-motion exercises. We encouraged partial and full weight-bearing by the sixth and tenth weeks, respectively. The mean follow-up period was 38 (range 12–96) months, and we evaluated the patients using Rasmussen’s clinical and radiologic criteria. We used a \( t \) test for statistical analysis. Results: There were 13 excellent (62%), 6 good (28%) and 2 fair (10%) clinical results, and 11 excellent (52%), 7 good (33%) and 3 fair (14%) radiologic results. We observed mild or moderate arthritic changes in 5 patients (24%). There were no infection or wound problems, but we removed hardware in 4 patients. Conclusion: Arthroscopically assisted treatment of lateral tibial plateau fractures yields satisfactory results and can be accepted as an alternative and effective method for the treatment of low-energy tibial plateau fractures.

There have been many options for the treatment of proximal tibial fractures in orthopedic literature, including conservative treatment, external fixation, open reduction–internal fixation (ORIF) and arthroscopically assisted percutaneous osteosynthesis (AAPO).1–4 The ultimate goal of the intra-articular fracture treatment should be the precise restoration of the joint surface and stable fixation to allow immediate postoperative
non-weight-bearing exercises. The difficulty in achieving precise reduction using conservative methods and the higher morbidity associated with traditional surgical applications have led to the development of semi-invasive techniques.\(^1\),\(^5\),\(^6\) The treatment of tibial plateau fractures with AAPO is one method that is associated with lower morbidity, less extensive surgical dissection and long-term immobilization. Furthermore, arthroscopy allows the surgeon to diagnose and treat concomitant soft-tissue pathologies synchronously.\(^5\),\(^8\)

The aim of our study was to evaluate the results of lateral tibial plateau fractures treated with AAPO.

**Methods**

Between June 1998 and January 2004, we treated lateral tibial plateau fractures with AAPO in 21 patients (14 men and 7 women). The patients had a mean age of 41 (range 23–77) years. Of the 21 patients, 16 (76%) sustained injuries during motor vehicle collisions and 5 (24%) had work-related injuries. Based on the classification described by Schatzker and colleagues,\(^2\) there were 7 type I, 10 type II and 4 type III fractures. None of the fractures was open. The inclusion criterion was low-energy proximal tibial fracture with articular step-off greater than 3 mm, condylar widening greater than 5 mm or varus-valgus instability greater than 10° without coincidental systemic injury. We excluded nondisplaced fractures, Schatzker type IV–VI fractures and fractures with extensive articular comminution from the study. We obtained informed consent from all patients preoperatively.

In the emergency department, we obtained anteroposterior (AP) and lateral radiographs of injured and uninjured knees. In all patients, we obtained 3-dimensional computed tomography (CT) scans to determine the exact fracture pattern and the directions of fracture lines, and to plan preoperatively for the optimal placement of screws and wires. Surgery was delayed until the swelling of extremities subsided, as determined by clinical observation. On average, patients had surgery on the third day after admission to hospital (range 1–7 d). Two authors (C.K and H.O.) performed all the surgeries and carried out the follow-up evaluations.

**Technique**

Before the surgical procedure, we examined the knee to evaluate the ligamentous stability. We performed arthroscopy of the knee joint using standard arthroscopic portals after the inflation of the tourniquet on the radiolucent table. We did not use an arthro pump, and the fluid was introduced with the use of gravity inflow. We established outflow via the arthroscopic cannula sheath to minimize the intra-articular pressure. We irrigated the joint and evacuated blood clots. We reduced split fractures by closed manipulation together with percutaneous pinning using the joystick technique. To treat depressed fractures, we used an anterior cruciate ligament guide to localize the centre of the depressed articular surface and the cortical window. We elevated these fractures through the cortical window using special custom-made impactors under the control of arthroscopy. In patients with type II and type III fractures, we filled the defect with cortico-cancellous allograft. We fixed the fracture with 6.5-mm cannulated screws under the control of C-arm fluoroscopy. After fracture fixation, we examined the intra-articular soft tissues. We repaired accompanying peripheral lateral meniscus tears using the inside-out technique in 1 patient, and we partially excised the torn menisci in 9 patients. We placed suction drains in the joint and closed the wound. The mean duration of surgery was 89 (range 58–115) minutes.

We used first-generation cephalosporin for antibiotic prophylaxis for 24 hours, starting just before the administration of anesthesia. After we removed the drain, patients began active quadriceps exercises. All patients used a continuous passive motion device until they were discharged from hospital. We recommended that patients refrain from weight-bearing activity and that they use hinged knee braces after the edema resolved and the wound healed. The average length of stay in hospital was 7 (range 4–14) days. We encouraged partial and full weight-bearing by the sixth and tenth weeks, respectively.

Patient follow-up occurred in 6-week intervals at the outpatient clinic. We examined patients clinically and radiologically in the first 6 months after surgery (Fig. 1, Fig. 2, Fig. 3). The average follow-up period was 38 (range 12–96) months. We determined union clinically by painless palpation. At the last follow-up visit, we evaluated the patients clinically and radiologically using the criteria recommended by Rasmussen.\(^10\) We estimated the severity of osteoarthritis based on the narrowing of joint space, as described in a study by Ahlbäck.\(^11\) A mild degree was a relative narrowing less than 50%, a moderate degree was a narrowing greater than 50% and a severe degree was when the joint was completely obliterated (Table 1). We asked patients whether they were satisfied or unsatisfied with the intervention.

**Statistical analysis**

We used the \(t\) test for statistical analysis, and we considered results of \(p < 0.05\) to be statistically significant.

**Results**

We achieved union in all patients without any additional procedure. We found no ligamentous instability at the last follow-up visit. The mean range of motion in the injured knee was 131° (range 118°–135°) com-
pared with a range of motion of 136° (range 135°–140°) in the non-injured knee. We observed no statistical difference in the range of motion between both sides ($p > 0.05$).

Of the 21 patients, 14 (67%) had associated intra-articular soft tissue lesions, and 9 had meniscus lesions (2 medial, 6 lateral and 1 both). Owing to the detachment of meniscal synovial junction, 1 of the lateral meniscus lesions required repair using the inside-out technique with polydioxanone suture. We partially resected the other meniscus lesions. In addition, there were 4 mild-degree medial collateral ligament lesions and 1 partial anterior cruciate ligament lesion in 4 patients, which we treated conservatively. One patient had a chondral fracture on the lateral femoral condyle, which we treated with abrasion chondroplasty.

We determined osteoarthritis according to the study by Ahlbäck. We observed no severe osteoarthritis. We detected mild changes in 3 patients (14%) and moderate changes in 2 patients (10%). Work and recreational activities were affected to a minor degree for these patients. There were no complications, including infection, compartment syndrome or deep vein thrombosis, directly associated with the arthroscopy. However, in 4 patients, we removed the screws after the fracture healed owing to skin irritation. We performed these procedures at least 1 year after the initial surgery.

All the patients were satisfied with the treatment. Based on the criterion described by Rasmussen, there were 13 excellent (62%), 6 good (28%) and 2 fair (10%) clinical results, and 11 excellent (52%), 7 good (33%) and 3 fair (14%) radiologic results. We observed that fair radiological results were associated with a loss of reduction of no more than 3 mm in 2 patients with type II and type III fractures. The third patient had a type II tibial plateau fracture and a chondral fracture preoperatively that resulted in a fair outcome.

**Discussion**

The major risk of proximal tibia fractures is osteoarthritis related to either instability due to varus/valgus deformity or incongruence of the joint surface. For this reason, tibial plateau fractures require anatomic reduction of articular surfaces, restoration of axial alignment and stable fixation, allowing immediate active and passive exercises to obtain satisfactory results. In whichever way the fractures are treated, the ultimate goal of the treatment should be to preserve the normal range of motion in the knee.

Generally, operative treatment is advised for fractures with articular step-off and/or separation more than 3–5 mm and varus/valgus instability more than 10°.

Traditional surgery, including open reduction and internal fixation, has yielded adequate results with extensive surgical dissection. Moreover, in some cases, trans-section of menisci has been reported to achieve the required articular vision. Arthrotomy is the main component of this technique, and problems secondary to arthrotomy such as prolonged stiffness, increased pain and wound complications can be observed.

Arthroscopically assisted percutaneous fixation, which was first recommended by Caspari and Jennings, has gradually become popular since its initial use as a diagnostic tool. The advantages of AAPO include the direct vision of the intra-articular fracture, a more accurate reduction, lower morbidity compared with ORIF, better assessment and immediate treatment of intra-articular soft tissue lesions, prevention of soft-tissue complic-
tions and the possibility of washing out the joint content, including chondral debris and hematoma.\(^3,7,19,20\)

Fowble and colleagues\(^1\) reported that the results of the arthroscopic treatment were superior to ORIF. They pointed out that there was a higher percentage of anatomic reduction, lower rates of complication and a shorter delay to full weight-bearing among patients who underwent AAPO than among those who had ORIF.

Ohdera and colleagues\(^21\) reported no difference in the duration of surgery, the range of motion in the knee and clinical results between patients who had AAPO and those who had ORIF; however, they noted faster and easier rehabilitation among those who had AAPO. Lubowitz and colleagues\(^22\) reported that AAPO of selected tibial plateau fractures allows anatomic reduction and rigid internal fixation with less morbidity than ORIF.

It was reported that not all plateau fractures are amenable to AAPO. Schatzker type V and VI fractures, called high-energy fractures, are associated with a potential risk of compartment syndrome resulting from fluid extravasation.\(^6,12,19\)

Tornetta\(^39\) insisted that AAPO, a very technically demanding procedure that requires a certain level of expertise to perform, is best used in low-energy Schatzker type I, II and III fractures. However, Chan and colleagues\(^23\) reported that arthroscopically assisted reduction and bilateral plate fixation of 18 complex tibial plateau fractures resulted in 89% satisfactory results according to Rasmussen’s system. Furthermore, they reported no important complications related to compartment syndrome, though lateral paresthesia occurred in 2 patients. To prevent compartment syndrome, the use of only the gravity inflow and adequate outflow technique via the cannula sheath is recommended.\(^13,15,23\)

Based on Rasmussen's clinical and radiologic criteria, we obtained satisfactory results (90% excellent and 86% good). We observed mild or moderate osteoarthritis in 5 patients (24%). These results are comparable with other series. Scheerlinck and colleagues\(^41\) reported 92% satisfactory rates that demonstrated a joint line narrowing rate of 28.9%. Hung and colleagues\(^45\) found 93.5% satisfactory results according to the Hospital for Special Surgery Knee Score.

The concomitant intra-articular soft-tissue injury rate has been reported to be between 52% and 72%.\(^15,23,25\) In our study, 14 patients (67%) had soft-tissue lesions. Both observation and immediate repairing of the intra-articular soft-tissue injuries can be regarded as one of the main advantages of AAPO.

All the fractures healed without angular deformity or ligamentous laxity. In addition, we observed no complications, including infection or compartment syndrome, related to arthroscopy.

In conclusion, AAPO can be accepted as an effective, semi-invasive surgical method with a low rate of complication for the treatment of Schatzker type I, II and III tibial plateau fractures.
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### Table 1

Demographic characteristics of 21 patients who had lateral tibial plateau fractures treated with arthroscopically assisted percutaneous osteosynthesis

<table>
<thead>
<tr>
<th>Patient Sex</th>
<th>Age, yr</th>
<th>Fracture type, Schatzker</th>
<th>Intra-articular lesion</th>
<th>F-U, mo</th>
<th>ROM,º</th>
<th>Rasmussen: Clinical</th>
<th>Rasmussen: Radiologic</th>
<th>Arthritis, Ahlbäck</th>
</tr>
</thead>
<tbody>
<tr>
<td>1</td>
<td>M</td>
<td>77</td>
<td>I</td>
<td>None</td>
<td>34</td>
<td>132</td>
<td>Good</td>
<td>Good, Mild</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>2</td>
<td>M</td>
<td>57</td>
<td>II</td>
<td>MML</td>
<td>43</td>
<td>122</td>
<td>Fair</td>
<td>Fair, Moderate</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>3</td>
<td>F</td>
<td>48</td>
<td>II</td>
<td>None</td>
<td>36</td>
<td>135</td>
<td>Excellent</td>
<td>Excellent</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>4</td>
<td>M</td>
<td>34</td>
<td>III</td>
<td>LML</td>
<td>23</td>
<td>132</td>
<td>Good</td>
<td>Fair, Moderate</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>5</td>
<td>M</td>
<td>37</td>
<td>II</td>
<td>MCL, ACL</td>
<td>45</td>
<td>130</td>
<td>Excellent</td>
<td>Excellent</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>6</td>
<td>F</td>
<td>37</td>
<td>I</td>
<td>None</td>
<td>19</td>
<td>131</td>
<td>Excellent</td>
<td>Excellent</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>7</td>
<td>M</td>
<td>37</td>
<td>I</td>
<td>LML</td>
<td>33</td>
<td>135</td>
<td>Excellent</td>
<td>Excellent</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>8</td>
<td>F</td>
<td>32</td>
<td>I</td>
<td>MML</td>
<td>44</td>
<td>135</td>
<td>Excellent</td>
<td>Excellent</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>9</td>
<td>F</td>
<td>29</td>
<td>I</td>
<td>None</td>
<td>36</td>
<td>135</td>
<td>Excellent</td>
<td>Excellent</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>10</td>
<td>M</td>
<td>38</td>
<td>II</td>
<td>MCL</td>
<td>48</td>
<td>132</td>
<td>Excellent</td>
<td>Excellent</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>11</td>
<td>F</td>
<td>58</td>
<td>III</td>
<td>None</td>
<td>19</td>
<td>130</td>
<td>Excellent</td>
<td>Excellent</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>12</td>
<td>M</td>
<td>42</td>
<td>II</td>
<td>Chondral fracture</td>
<td>15</td>
<td>118</td>
<td>Fair</td>
<td>Fair, Moderate</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>13</td>
<td>M</td>
<td>34</td>
<td>III</td>
<td>MML, LML</td>
<td>12</td>
<td>138</td>
<td>Good</td>
<td>Good, Mild</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>14</td>
<td>M</td>
<td>56</td>
<td>I</td>
<td>LML</td>
<td>89</td>
<td>131</td>
<td>Good</td>
<td>Good</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>15</td>
<td>M</td>
<td>38</td>
<td>II</td>
<td>LML</td>
<td>29</td>
<td>134</td>
<td>Excellent</td>
<td>Excellent</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>16</td>
<td>F</td>
<td>23</td>
<td>III</td>
<td>MCL</td>
<td>12</td>
<td>134</td>
<td>Excellent</td>
<td>Excellent</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>17</td>
<td>M</td>
<td>23</td>
<td>II</td>
<td>LML</td>
<td>49</td>
<td>132</td>
<td>Excellent</td>
<td>Excellent</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>18</td>
<td>F</td>
<td>53</td>
<td>I</td>
<td>None</td>
<td>12</td>
<td>135</td>
<td>Excellent</td>
<td>Excellent</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>19</td>
<td>M</td>
<td>30</td>
<td>I</td>
<td>None</td>
<td>38</td>
<td>119</td>
<td>Good</td>
<td>Good, Mild</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>20</td>
<td>M</td>
<td>37</td>
<td>II</td>
<td>LML</td>
<td>57</td>
<td>126</td>
<td>Good</td>
<td>Good</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>21</td>
<td>M</td>
<td>47</td>
<td>II</td>
<td>MCL</td>
<td>96</td>
<td>133</td>
<td>Excellent</td>
<td>Good</td>
</tr>
</tbody>
</table>

ACL = anterior cruciate ligament; F = female; F-U = follow-up; LML = lateral meniscus lesion; M = male; MCL = medial collateral ligament; MML = medial meniscus lesion; ROM = range of motion.


