The demographics of significant firearm injury in Canadian trauma centres and the associated predictors of inhospital mortality
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Objective: Our primary objective was to evaluate demographic and causal factors of inhospital mortality for significant firearm-related injuries (i.e., those with an Injury Severity Score [ISS] > 12) in Canadian trauma centres. Methods: We analyzed data submitted to the Canadian Institute for Health Information (CIHI) in the National Trauma Registry for all firearm-injured patients for fiscal years 1999–2003. Univariate and bivariate adjusting for ISS and multivariate logistic regression were performed. Results: Men accounted for 94% of the 784 injured. In all patients, the percentages of self-inflicted, intentional, unintentional and unknown injuries were 27.8%, 60.3%, 6.1% and 5.7%, respectively. The inhospital fatality rate was 39.8%, with 83% of fatalities occurring on the first day. Two-thirds of patients were discharged home. Univariate and adjusted analysis found that ISS, first systolic blood pressure (BP), first systolic BP under 100, first Glasgow Coma Scale (GCS) score, age over 45 years, self-inflicted injury, intentional injury and injury at home significantly worsened the odds ratio of death in hospital and that police shooting was relatively beneficial. BP under 100, age over 45 years and a low GCS score had an adjusted odds ratio of death of 4.12, 1.99 and 0.64 per point increase, respectively. The multivariate model showed that ISS, BP under 100, first GCS score, sex and self-inflicted injury were significant in predicting inhospital death. Conclusion: A predominance of young men are injured intentionally with handguns in Canada, whereas older patients suffer self-inflicted injuries with long guns. The significant number of firearm deaths, largely in the first day, highlights the importance of preventative strategies and the need for rapid transport of patients to trauma centres for urgent care.

Objectif : Notre principal objectif consistait à évaluer, dans les centres de traumatologie du Canada, les facteurs démographiques et les causes de mortalité à l’hôpital à la suite de traumatismes importants (c.-à-d. indice de gravité de la blessure [IGB] > 12) causés par une arme à feu. Méthodes : Nous avons analysé des données soumises à l’Institut canadien d’information sur la santé (ICIS) dans le Registre national des traumatismes pour tous les patients blessés par arme à feu au cours des exercices 1999 à 2003. On a procédé à un rajustement unidimensionnel et bidimensionnel pour l’IGB et à une régression logistique multidimensionnelle. Résultats : Parmi les 784 personnes blessées, 94 % étaient des hommes. Chez tous les patients, les pourcentages de blessures auto-infligées, intentionnelles, non intentionnelles et d’origine inconnue s’établissaient à 27,8 %, 60,3 %, 6,1 % et 5,7 % respectivement. Le taux de mortalité à l’hôpital atteignait 39,8 %, 83 % des décès se produisant le premier jour. Les deux tiers des patients ont reçu leur congé à domicile. L’analyse unidimensionnelle et rajustée a révélé que l’IGB, la première tension artérielle (TA) systolique, la première TA systolique de moins de 100, le premier résultat sur l’échelle du coma de Glasgow (ECCG), l’âge de plus de 45 ans, une blessure infligée par la victime même, une blessure intentionnelle et une blessure à domicile aggraient considérablement le risque de décès à l’hôpital et qu’une blessure par balle causée par les services policiers était relativement moins grave. Une TA de moins de 100, une victime âgée de plus de 45 ans et un faible résultat sur l’ECCG produisait un coefficient de probabilité rajusté de décès de 4,12, 1,99 et 0,64 par point d’augmentation, respectivement. Le modèle multidimensionnel a montré que l’IGB, une TA de moins de 100, le premier résultat selon l’ECCG, le sexe et une blessure auto-infligée sont des facteurs importants de prédiction du décès à l’hôpital. Conclusion : Ce sont...
In Canada, firearms are responsible for about 1000 deaths annually. However, for every person killed with a firearm, it is estimated that 2.6 more are injured.\(^1\) The lethal nature of these weapons ensures that a large portion of victims require significant medical care, and despite policy attention, it is estimated that criminal offences involving firearms cost Canadians $6.6 billion annually.\(^2\) Many authors have looked both at particular anatomic types of firearm injuries and at larger socioeconomic issues of gun violence.\(^3,4\) In the Canadian context, we have examined firearm mortality and the effect of various policy interventions on homicide and suicide rates.\(^5,6\) Unfortunately, little is known about patients who are seriously wounded by firearms in Canada or about what demographic and social factors contribute to their injury and outcome. The proportion of victims injured by self, intentionally or accidentally, has not been conclusively determined. The location of the incident and mitigating factors that could be useful in prevention strategies and in reducing the mortality of these patients has been largely unexplored.

In the United States, individual state-based injury reporting systems or national estimates have been researched. Beaman and colleagues\(^6\) examined firearm injuries that were cared for in US emergency departments and showed high levels of injuries to male patients from ethnic minorities and an overall fatality rate of 34%. This correlates well with another study from Galveston, Texas, that showed 30% overall mortality, with 80% of mortalities resulting from self-inflicted injuries.\(^7\) The US Centers for Disease Control and Prevention issued a thorough report on firearm-related injuries examining associated factors. The report’s conclusions were built on surveillance-based estimates of 91 centres that rely exclusively on emergency department reporting. This tends to limit the validity of the report.\(^8\) There are several US-based national studies on pediatric firearm injuries, but the external validity to the adult population is limited.

The primary objective of this study is to investigate all cases of significant firearm-related injuries (i.e., those with an Injury Severity Score [ISS] > 12) that survived transportation to Canadian trauma centres between fiscal years 1999 and 2003; we evaluate demographic and causal factors and their relation to in-hospital mortality.

**Methods**

**Data acquisition and elements**

We obtained data from fiscal year 1999 to 2003 for all patients injured by firearms who were treated in Canadian trauma centres. Data were retrieved from information submitted to the Canadian Institute for Health Information (CIHI) National Trauma Registry (NTR), which is a national pooling of Canadian provincial trauma registries (http://secure.cihi.ca/cihiweb/dispPage.jsp?cw_page=services_ntr_e). The provinces of British Columbia, Alberta, Manitoba, Ontario and Nova Scotia have participated since 1999, Quebec and New Brunswick since 2000 and Newfoundland and Labrador since 2003. Together, these provinces hold 95% of the Canadian population as defined by Statistics Canada, although trauma centres do not serve all patients. The NTR records data on patients in participating trauma centres. Included patients have an ISS greater than 12 and have either been admitted to a participating hospital or were treated or died in the emergency department.

The ISS is an internationally recognized scoring system for quantification of trauma. It is anatomically based and attempts to summarize multiple injuries with a single number and correlates linearly with death.\(^9\) The ISS is not the ideal marker for severity of injury in penetrating trauma, but it was universally collected by the NTR as a predefined trauma score, and it does represent a valid metric for severity of injury in penetrating trauma.

In this study, we investigated the following data elements for epidemiologic evaluation: patient age, province of injury, sex, date of injury, length of stay in hospital, ISS, first recorded blood pressure (BP), first recorded Glasgow Coma Scale (GCS) score, blood alcohol level, postal code, death in hospital, operations (if any were performed), site of disposition, International Classification of Diseases 9 and 10 (www.who.int/classifications/icd/en/) codes for intention of injury (self-inflicted, intentional or unintentional), weapon type, police shooting and location of incident.

**Variables**

The categorical variables examined in the study were intention in regard to the injury, weapon type and location of incident. We analyzed these variables with the use of dummy variables for each category with the referent groups of the unknown/other category. The categories for intention of injury consisted of self-inflicted, intentional, accidental and unknown/other. Weapon type consisted of handgun, long gun and other/unknown. Location of incident is categorized by the CIHI into home, street/highway,
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The postal code of the patient’s home address was used to determine whether the residence was rural, defined as a location with a minimum population concentration of 400 people per square kilometer or a total population of less than 1000. When this data element is interpreted, however, it is important to stress that living in a rural area does not necessarily mean a rural place of injury. On chart review, we included the first recorded systolic BP and first recorded GCS score as important variables seen in presenting patients. The data for BP were analyzed both as a continuous variable and as a dichotomous variable (BP < 100 or BP > 100). Patients with an initial BP of 0 were excluded from analysis because BP of 0 was uniformly fatal in this study. We thus excluded patients whose initial BP of 0 would distort the relation between initial BP and probability of death. This exclusion permitted a more clinically relevant interpretation of the data.

We analyzed the data for age in 2 ways. First, the subjects were grouped into 5-year age categories. To allow for a simpler comparison between older and younger subjects, we performed a second analysis in which the age variable was dichotomized, dividing the subjects into 2 categories: below and above age 45 years.

The variable “blood alcohol” was transformed from its absolute number to a present/absent dichotomous variable. The same was done for police shootings and for whether any operation was performed. With regard to operative treatment, the complexity, variability and level of recorded information in the national registry made any more specific comment subject to too much error. The site of disposition was categorized by CIHI into home, home with support, rehabilitation, another acute hospital or other.

The values recorded for each variable are presented in Table 1.

### Statistical analysis

Values and distributions of the data elements were examined. To better understand the patient population, we graphed intention, location and frequency of firearm injuries by age category. As well, to understand which weapons are most frequently associated, we examined weapon type as it related to intention of injury.

Missing data may be nondifferentially distributed (e.g., subjects with a normal GCS score may be more likely not to have their GCS score reported) and may be related to outcome. To avoid bias introduced owing to missing data, we used a multiple regression technique in which replacement values for missing data greater than 10% were imputed; all variables where data were present for more than 90% of subjects were used. These variables included rural residence, first GCS score and positive blood alcohol.

Time to fatality was recorded to establish a timeline from time of injury to death and the proportion of fatalities occurring in the first 24 hours. The severity and course of injury during the hospitalization was further examined, as indicated by the ISS, length of stay and site of disposition.

For exploratory purposes, we performed univariate logistic regression to evaluate the single-variable odds ratio on inpatient death with all variables. Further, to standardize for degree of injury, we used a bivariate logistic regression adjusting for ISS. Following the univariate model analysis, all variables with p values below 0.20 were entered into a logistic multivariate analysis. This was deemed strict enough to exclude random associations from the model and permissive enough to ensure that a true association was not excluded. While variables were categorized in multiple ways, we used only the dichotomized

### Table 1

<table>
<thead>
<tr>
<th>Variable</th>
<th>Odds (unadjusted)</th>
<th>Odds (adjusted for ISS)</th>
</tr>
</thead>
<tbody>
<tr>
<td>ISS</td>
<td>1.11 &lt; 0.001</td>
<td>—</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>BP, mm Hg (systolic)</td>
<td>0.98 &lt; 0.001</td>
<td>0.98 &lt; 0.001</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>BP &lt; 100, mm Hg (systolic)</td>
<td>4.82 &lt; 0.001</td>
<td>4.12 &lt; 0.001</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>GCS</td>
<td>0.65 &lt; 0.001</td>
<td>0.68 &lt; 0.001</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Self inflicted, yes/no</td>
<td>4.27 &lt; 0.001</td>
<td>5.44 &lt; 0.001</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Age, 5-y groups</td>
<td>1.08 &lt; 0.001</td>
<td>1.09 &lt; 0.001</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Age &gt; 45 y</td>
<td>1.83 &lt; 0.001</td>
<td>1.99 &lt; 0.001</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Intentional, yes/no</td>
<td>1.90 &lt; 0.015</td>
<td>1.92 &lt; 0.001</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Home, yes/no</td>
<td>1.42 &lt; 0.019</td>
<td>1.66 0.002 &lt; 782</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Police shooting, yes/no</td>
<td>0.37 &lt; 0.031</td>
<td>0.20 0.006 &lt; 784</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Rural, yes/no</td>
<td>0.66 &lt; 0.04</td>
<td>0.74 0.18 &lt; 709 &lt; 784</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Sex, M:F</td>
<td>1.55 &lt; 0.19</td>
<td>1.40 0.33 &lt; 782</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Blood alcohol, yes/no</td>
<td>1.18 &lt; 0.39</td>
<td>1.39 0.11 472 &lt; 784</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Injury month</td>
<td>0.98 &lt; 0.30</td>
<td>0.98 0.48 778</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Street, yes/no</td>
<td>1.10 &lt; 0.58</td>
<td>0.98 0.90 &lt; 782</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Handgun, yes/no</td>
<td>0.97 &lt; 0.87</td>
<td>0.94 0.72 784</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Long gun, yes/no</td>
<td>0.98 &lt; 0.91</td>
<td>1.16 0.41 784</td>
</tr>
</tbody>
</table>

BP = blood pressure; F = female; GCS = Glasgow Coma Scale; ISS = Injury Severity Score; M = male.

*Numbers were imputed with a multiple regression technique described in Methods.*
data to ease interpretation of results. For example, we used age of more than 45 years rather than precise ages because the interpretation of odds per year change in age is difficult to appreciate clinically. We explored interaction terms of all significant variables individually, using a likelihood ratio test. Higher-order interaction terms were not explored. Patients with a first recorded BP of 0 were not included in the multivariate analysis because to include those would bias results, as mentioned above.

Statistical calculations were done with Stata v9.0 (StataCorp, College Station, Tex.); the significance level was set at 0.05.

**Results**

In the time frame of this study, significant firearm injuries were seen in 784 people. Over 94% of the injuries occurred in men (736/784). The largest proportion of the patient population comprised men aged 15–34 years (Fig. 1).

Patients evaluated in this study had a mean ISS of 26.1 (standard deviation [SD] 11.9), and the overall fatality rate was 39.8%. The average length of hospital stay was 17.7 (SD 35) days, and 39.1% of the patients received an operation at some point during their hospitalization. Blood alcohol, as measured on admission, was positive in 35% of patients.

Where firearm injuries occurred varied with age: with increased age, a higher percentage occurred at home. For persons aged 60 and older, for example, more than 70% of firearm injuries occurred at home and less than 10% on the street (Fig. 2). (Not included in Fig. 2 is the small percentage of patients who were also injured in areas defined as sports and industrial areas; these areas make up less than 3% of all locations.)

The percentages of self-inflicted, assault, unintentional and unknown injuries were 27.8%, 60.3%, 6.1% and 5.7%, respectively. The percentage of self-inflicted gunshot wounds increased with age; the relative percentage of gun injuries from assaults decreased. For example, in the group aged 20 to 24 years, 80% of gun injuries were due to assaults. Conversely, 100% of gun injuries in the group aged 75 and older were self-inflicted (Fig. 3).

Most accidental and self-inflicted gun injuries were from long guns. By contrast, handguns were more likely to be used in firearm assaults (where the type of gun is unknown more than 50% of the time) (Fig. 4).

Of the fatalities, 83% occurred on the first day. Fatalities followed a binomial pattern, with most occurring early and a smaller number occurring late, after presumed multiorgan failure. Patients who survived were discharged to typical locations: home with or without support, rehabilitation, another acute hospital or “other.” Most patients went home (Fig. 5).

In the univariate logistic analyses of all patients admitted to hospital, ISS, the continuous variable for BP, BP less than 100, first GCS score,
the continuous variable for age, age over 45 years, self-inflicted injury, intentional injury, police shooting, rural residence and whether the person was injured at home were all risk factors for death (Table 1). In the bivariate logistic regression (adjusting for ISS), all these variables except rural residence remained significant.

In the multiple logistic regression ISS, BP less than 100, first GCS score, sex, rural residence and self-inflicted injury remained significant risk factors for death (Table 2). We also explored interaction terms; these failed to reach significance.

Discussion

The demographic data in this study are consistent with the available literature for firearm fatalities, but they also provide some new and interesting insights. No other study has shown such a high rate of injury in male subjects. Male patients account for over 94% of those with firearm injuries, which is higher than the 87% observed in the United States. Most at risk is the group aged 20 to 24 years. Our results are similar to those found for fatal shootings in Canada and in the United States. However, the injury data differ from the mortality data in that most hospitalized patients had injuries due to assault, despite the fact that in Canada the number of firearm suicides is 5 times as great as the number of homicides. This result speaks to the lethality of suicide attempts and demonstrates that most individuals who attempt suicide with firearms don’t survive to reach hospital. As shown in this study, those who do are significantly more likely to die.

The case fatality rate in this study is 40%, higher than in US series, where the range is typically 30%–32%. This difference may be explained by the higher proportion of self-inflicted injuries in Canadian centres (28% v. 18%), or it may be the result of lower volumes of penetrating trauma treated in Canada.

It is interesting to note from the national registry data that older patients are more likely to have been shot at home with a self-inflicted injury. Almost 75% of patients over age 65 years were shot at home. It is not until their late 40s to early 50s that patients are more likely to have self-inflicted gunshot wounds than to be victims of firearm assault.

The weapon type used in each injury category is interesting yet intuitive. As Figure 4 reveals, self-inflicted and unintentional injuries were most often received from long guns. According to a 1998 study, long guns are the more prevalent weapon in Canada and were present in 19% of all households, whereas handguns were...
present in only 2.3% of households. Assaul
tive gun injuries are largely com
mited with handguns, perhaps the wea
on of choice owing to the case with which they are concealed.

At discharge, more than two
-thirds of patients were discharged to
their home, which speaks to the di
chotomy that, if they survive this in
jury, patients usually go home. Per
haps the young average age of the
patients allows them to rebound
quickly from injury.

The vast majority of fatalities oc
urred in the first 24 hours after the
shooting, indicating that, in addition
to prevention, the most important
window of opportunity for improved
survival occurs immediately after in
jury, in the first “golden hour,” when
the patient must be transported to an
appropriate trauma centre.

The univariate unadjusted and ad
justed analyses indicate that ISS is di
rectly related to probability of death.
Adjusted for ISS, the first BP, first
GCS score, self-inflicted wounds,
intentional wounds, injury at home,
police shooting and age all sig
ificantly affect survival. This finding
is not surprising, but certainly, the
quantification of these variables’
effect is important. Clinically, having
a low GCS score, being older and
having a BP under 100 would intuit
ively suggest a worse outcome, when
taken together; their respective ad
justed odds ratios of death of 0.68,
1.99 and 4.12 per point increase em
phasizes their importance.

Meanwhile, factors affecting the
timely arrival of a patient to hospital
are also important. Having self
inflicted wounds, injury at home as
opposed to visibly on the street, or
even the relatively beneficial effect of
being shot by police, all affect the
speed of arrival at the hospital.
Whether because of a suicide attempt
that goes unreported or because of
delay in medical personnel enter
ing the home, self-inflicted injuries and
injuries at home have poorer out
comes. However, other factors con
tribute to a lower survival for those
with self-inflicted gunshot wounds.
These wounds are proportionally
more likely than assaultive injuries to
be to the head: 51% versus 14%, re
spectively. This results in a case fa
tality rate for gunshot wounds to the
head that is 3.3 times higher than
the rate for gunshot wounds to other
parts of the body.

In the multivariate analysis, ISS is
still significant, as are the following
variables: BP under 100, first GCS
score, sex, rural residence and self
inflicted injury. Self-inflicted wounds,
even with adjusting for all the other
variables in the model, are still signifi
cant, which may relate to the delay in
assistance and transport to hospital.
The significance of rural residence
likely reflects the location bias of the
urban trauma centres that recorded
the data. Surviving patients from rural
locations who are transported to a
trauma centre likely are less seriously
injured or more stable; those with
more severe injuries are likely to die
en route or are not transported at all.
The most interesting finding is that
male patients have a poor outcome in
the multivariate model. With a pre
ponderance of young men in this
study, it may reflect young men’s
likelihood to be involved in violent or
crime-related activities, compared
with women in the study, making
them less likely to seek timely medical
assistance.

It is important to stress that the
data set represents only those pa
tients surviving transportation to
trauma centres involved in this study,
which may limit its external validity.

Conclusions

Firearm injuries remain a significant
concern in Canada. The financial and
human cost is considerable. The pre
dominance of young men injured in
tentionally with handguns in Canada
offers a focus for firearms programs
gear to high-risk individuals. The
significant number of firearms deaths,
largely in the first day, highlights the
importance of preventative strategies
and the need for rapid transport of
patients to trauma centres for urgent
care.

Important clinical factors such as
initial BP, GCS, age and ISS offer
significant predictors of mortality. In
the case of self-inflicted wounds, our
greatest effort should be preventive,
promoting the availability of good
mental health systems and continued
restriction on access to firearms.

More attention must be directed
toward education, policing and
strategies to deal with the intentional
injuries among our youth and young
adults. We need to pay equal atten
tion to those less visible older pa
tients who are injuring themselves.
Firearm violence in Canada is in
creasingly being acknowledged na
tionally and demands a multidisci
plinary approach.
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