The terminal objective of surgical training is to produce competent surgeons capable of meeting the health care needs of our society. Recently, several accrediting medical bodies in North America proposed a framework of essential competencies required of all specialist physicians. The Royal College of Physicians and Surgeons of Canada’s CanMEDS 2000 Project endorsed the following 7 core competencies: medical expert/clinical decision-maker, communicator, collaborator, manager, health advocate, scholar, and professional.

Similarly, in 1999 in the United States, the Accreditation Council for Graduate Medical Education (ACGME) Outcome Project adopted the following 6 competencies for all doctors: patient care, medical knowledge, practice-based learning and improvement, interpersonal and communication skills, professionalism, and systems-based practice.

Surgical training programs emphasize the achievement of both cognitive and technical competence. The cognitive ability of surgical trainees is clearly captured under competency domains including medical knowledge, clinical decision-maker and medical expert. Cognitive competence requires mastery of a predefined body of knowledge. Traditionally, this is achieved through review of reference texts and medical journals, together with regular attendance at academic lectures, workshops, teaching rounds and conferences.

Despite their importance to surgeons, motor skills or technical competence have historically been ill defined and consequently poorly assessed. Technical competence is frequently not defined explicitly but hidden in definitions of core competencies under nonspecific, subcategories including “medical expert — therapeutic skills for effective treatment” (CanMEDS) and “patient care — the performance of medical procedures” (ACGME). Technical competence is typically achieved in a graduated fashion through repeated exposure and hands-on practice as the surgical trainee progresses through residency.

Despite increasing efforts to clearly define and assess the domains in which a surgeon must achieve competency, to date few surgical educators have studied precisely how such competencies are acquired over time. Here, we (a) introduce the concept of “trajectory” for competency achievement, (b) speculate as to the trajectories of important competency domains in surgical training, and (c) propose a new and distinct competency domain — “operative competence” — and hypothesize as to the trajectory for its achievement.

Cognitive competence

The current standards for assessing cognitive knowledge include performance-based Objective Structured Clinical Examination (OSCE) scores and structured short-answer and multiple-choice questions. On the basis of these standards, cognitive competence is typically acquired in an arithmetic fashion with a linear trajectory over the course of surgical training.

Technical and motor skills competence

In contrast to cognitive competence, motor learning theory suggests that motor skills development and technical competence is achieved exponentially, with rapid initial improvements in performance followed by decreasing gains with practice over time — a negatively accelerating trajectory (Fig. 1).

Operative competence

Even as surgical educators better understand how to define and assess
technical and cognitive competence, we hypothesize that there is a separate and distinct competency domain, *operative competence*, which is the ability to perform the entirety of an operative procedure. In contrast to pure technical competence and motor skills development which traditionally focuses on discrete motor skills or technical components of a larger operation (e.g., suturing, knot tying, stent or catheter placement), operative competence is a much broader, more global competency domain that captures the trainee’s ability to perform the complete operation (from positioning the patient to wound closure), within the global concept of preoperative assessment and postoperative care. Whereas operative competence demands both cognitive and technical ability, it also requires other essential (yet difficult to measure) competencies, including opportunity and experience, clinical judgement, confidence and poise, communication skills and professionalism (Fig. 2).

Based on our collective experiences and observations as surgical educators, we hypothesize that the trajectory for the achievement of operative competence represents a composite (not necessarily the sum) of multiple and overlapping competencies (Fig. 2). Consequently, the trajectory for the achievement of operative competence differs from the trajectory of motor skills development and may be depicted by a positively accelerating trajectory with more gradual increases in performance early in training (and therefore more difficult to identify, assess and remediate by surgical educators, [see Fig. 1, triangles]).

**Implications of competency trajectories on surgical education**

Enhancing our understanding of the trajectories for important competency domains in surgical training may have significant implications on how surgical educators approach the assessment, evaluation, methods and timing of remediation for surgical trainees. For example, the trajectory for achieving operative competence (positively accelerating trajectory) may delay the identification of a trainee in need of corrective action because the slope of the trajectory curve may be too gradual or occur too late in the course of surgical training. This may not be a problem for other competency domains, including cognitive competence (linear
trajectory) and motor skills or technical competence (negatively accelerating trajectory) whose performance trajectories rise earlier in the course of training. The identification of strengths and weaknesses in individual residents allows for appropriate goal-directed remediation. The early identification of trainees at risk for failure affords greater opportunity for corrective measures.

Several exciting strategies exist that can potentially alter the trajectory for the achievement of operative competence:

- the use of laboratory based surgical skills training
- repetitive practice on virtual reality surgical simulators
- curriculum innovation, novel methods of exposing trainees to surgical mentors
- early and more meaningful participation in the operating room
- timely and objective evaluation and feedback to trainees.

The concept of operative competence and its trajectory for achievement is both novel and complex, and it is perhaps the most important competency for surgical educators to appreciate as it represents an amalgamation of many of the essential competency domains in surgical training. Clearly, further work is needed to better understand and validate the concept of the trajectory of competency achievement. Such validation by surgical educators is increasingly possible with the use of scientifically and psychometrically valid and reliable assessments tools to evaluate both clinical (OSCE) and technical (OSATS) skills. Through such understanding, surgical training programs and trainees alike will be in a better position to optimize the learning environment and maximize the achievement of operative competence.
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