Background: We wished to evaluate the treatment methods for vertebral Langerhans cell histiocytosis (LCH) (a rare reticuloendothelial disorder) at a tertiary care pediatric centre and compare treatment and outcomes with those reported in the recent literature. Methods: A total of 55 charts were retrieved between 1980 and 2003 for children with LCH. Only those children who were under 18 years of age, had a diagnosis of LCH, histiocytosis X or eosinophilic granuloma and had documented vertebral involvement were included. The data collected were compared with data in the literature with respect to epidemiologic features, symptoms, investigations and procedures done, treatment, outcome and follow-up. Results: Of the 8 children who met the inclusion criteria for vertebral LCH, the most common presenting complaint was back or neck pain. The thoracic vertebrae were most commonly affected followed equally by cervical and lumbar spines. Most children underwent a complete diagnostic work-up. A single solitary lesion was found in only 1 child. Biopsies were attempted in all cases with 6 positive results. Treatment varied depending on the severity of the presenting complaint; however, none of the tumours was completely resected. Follow-up averaged 3.4 years, and only 1 child has had a recurrence. Conclusion: A multidisciplinary investigation is recommended for children with suspected vertebral LCH. Treatment depends on the severity of the disease.
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Langerhans cell histiocytosis (LCH) is a relatively uncommon disorder involving the reticuloendothelial system in children and young adults. Although it was discovered by Hand in 1893, the etiology remains unknown, but viruses, bacteria and genetic factors have been implicated. Familial occurrence is very rare. LCH is now used to designate various clinicopathologic conditions...
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previously known as Hand–Schüller–Christian disease, Abt–Letterer–Sive disease, Hashimoto–Pritzker disease, eosinophilic granuloma of bone and histiocytosis X. LCH was agreed upon by the Writing Group of the Histioyte Society in 1987 in order to acknowledge the central role of the Langerhans cell in these diseases. Although the classification system is in continual flux, the most contemporary nomenclature divides the disorder into a malignant form (malignant disorders) or a nonmalignant form, which ranges from self-limited to lethal (disorders of varied biological behaviour). LCH is classified as a disorder of varied biological behaviour and is dendritic-cell-related. Furthermore, LCH has been stratified on clinical grounds as single-system involving a single solitary site, single system affecting multiple sites or multisystem disease.3

LCH is characterized by an abnormal proliferation of histiocytes with a variable granulomatous and inflammatory component. The histologic picture is one of reactive rather than neoplastic proliferation.4 Multisystem LCH generally presents with a triad of exophthalmos, diabetes insipidus and lytic bone lesions.5 The clinical spectrum of LCH is broad, depending on the number and location of the lesions. Bone involvement is almost always present with the lesions usually located in the skull or long bones (or both)6 as well as the viscera. Other common anatomical locations (and findings) of LCH involvement include skin (rash), lungs (dysfunction), liver (dysfunction), gastrointestinal tract, hematologic system (dysfunction), the pituitary gland (diabetes insipidus) and the nervous system. Sites such as the spleen (dysfunction), lymph nodes, subcutaneous tissues overlying a bony lesion (pain), urinary tract, eye (uveitis, exophthalmos) and ear (chronic infections or discharge) may also be involved. General symptoms like fever, weakness and failure to thrive may be present.5,7 Not all children have all of these involvements, and although no single prognostic indicator is accepted, factors predicting a poor prognosis appear to be young age (<1 yr), signs of organ dysfunction (liver, bone-marrow, lung or digestive involvement), or dysfunction of one of the vital organs (liver, lung or bone marrow) or involvement of more than 3 organs, or a combination of these.6,7

Solitary bone involvement in contrast to the multisystem form, has the best prognostic result. LCH involving bone at a single site (formerly eosinophilic granuloma) tends to be self-limiting. LCH accounts for less than 1% of bone tumours, and up to 80% of children will present before they reach the age of 10 years. Involvement of the spine has been reported to occur in 7%–10% of cases.3–10

Despite the relative infrequency of LHC, solitary LCH is the commonest form, accounting for 60%–80% of cases. Detection is important to manage solitary LCH properly.11 Acute awareness is required for diagnosis, and the Langerhans cell is essential for the diagnosis of LCH, particularly in its solitary form. The Langerhans cell is thought to be derived from the bone marrow and is related to the mononuclear phagocyte.9 Definitive diagnosis of LCH is made by histopathological evidence of Birbeck granules and immunohistochemical detection of S-100 and CD1a antigens in the tissue samples.3,11

In addition to a clinical examination, the investigations, usually dictated by the organ system(s) involved, consist of laboratory investigations (complete blood count, hepatic function testing, inflammatory markers, measurement of blood and urine osmolalities, and hormone levels such as antidiuretic hormone, thyroid stimulating hormone and growth hormone).6 To help confirm the diagnosis, multiple imaging modalities have been recommended, such as CT and MRI, a skeletal survey and tissue diagnosis.3,4 Myelography has also been used in the past.12 Technetium bone scintigraphy is not recommended as a screening tool because of its poor sensitivity.1

Treatment methods for vertebral involvement have been variable, yet all have had successful outcomes.12 The goals of treatment include spinal stability, preservation of neurologic function and eradication of the lesion. Some authors recommend conservative treatment with biopsy and immobilization and the use of steroids when there is no neurologic deficit.9,10,12 However, the treatment of children with neurologic deficit is more controversial. Some have advocated that immobilization and radiation are appropriate in children with mild neurologic deficit.12 Others believe that the neural elements should be decompressed and then fused, with the debatable addition of radiation or chemotherapy. Still others believe that radiation is unnecessary except when the disease continues to progress,1 and there are those who believe that chemotherapy is justified for multiple lesions or involved visceral organs, or when surgery and radiation have failed, but it is not recommended in cases of solitary LCH.1,10,12

Several studies of solitary osseous LCH have shown that resolution occurred at a rate unaffected by the mode of treatment and this reinforces the opinion that solitary LCH is a self-limiting process.1 Hence there is no clear evidence that treatment affects the natural history of this entity.4

In this study we compare the treatment regimen and outcome of children with vertebral LCH at a tertiary care pediatric centre and compare it to those reported in the literature.

Methods

With the use of the International Classification of Diseases, Ninth Revision diagnostic codes, we reviewed charts of patients admitted between 1980 and 2003 to the
Results

The 8 patients (3 boys, 5 girls) ranged in age from 3 to 11 years (mean 6.4 yr). The most common presenting symptom was back or neck pain (7 children). The remaining child had only a lesion on the scalp, found incidentally. Findings suggestive of neurologic compromise were not observed. A comprehensive literature search was conducted using Ovid MEDLINE and all data were compared with available recent literature.

A summary of the data collected from the charts of 8 children with vertebral Langerhans cell histiocytosis is presented in Table 1.

Table 1

<table>
<thead>
<tr>
<th>Case</th>
<th>Symptom(s)</th>
<th>Neuroexam</th>
<th>Radiologic investigation</th>
<th>Procedure</th>
<th>Dx/Bx</th>
<th>Tx</th>
<th>Outcome</th>
<th>F/U</th>
</tr>
</thead>
<tbody>
<tr>
<td>1 F 6</td>
<td>Back pain, unsteady gait, polyuria, polydipsia</td>
<td>Y, abnormal gait</td>
<td>Hp, skull, spine: T7, T11, L1, 17-5, epidura</td>
<td>3 negative biopsies</td>
<td>52</td>
<td>Histio/Hist</td>
<td>Chemo 12 wk, steroids</td>
<td>Recurrence</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>2 M 7</td>
<td>Scalp lesion</td>
<td>Neg.</td>
<td>C3 vertebra plana, humerus, skull</td>
<td>Biopsy &amp; resection of skull lesion</td>
<td>12</td>
<td>LCH/BBB, CD1a</td>
<td>N</td>
<td>Resolved</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>3 F 6</td>
<td>Back pain</td>
<td>Y, SLR + 30°, constipation</td>
<td>L4, tibia</td>
<td>Biopsy</td>
<td>48</td>
<td>Histio/BBB</td>
<td>Brace, steroids, radio 6 Gy</td>
<td>Improved, vertebra plana</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>4 M 6</td>
<td>Back pain, skull depression</td>
<td>Neg.</td>
<td>T5 compression, skull</td>
<td>Biopsy &amp; resection of skull lesion</td>
<td>12</td>
<td>LCH/Eo, CD1a, S100</td>
<td>N</td>
<td>Improved</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>5 M 3</td>
<td>Torticollis, pain</td>
<td>Neg.</td>
<td>Wide C3 prevertebral space</td>
<td>Biopsy</td>
<td>23</td>
<td>EG, LCH, Histio, Giant, BBB, Eo</td>
<td>Brace</td>
<td>Improved, vertebra plana</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>6 F 11</td>
<td>Fever, back pain</td>
<td>Neg.</td>
<td>Increased disc space T9-10</td>
<td>Biopsy, resection, fusion</td>
<td>20</td>
<td>Histio, EG/Eo</td>
<td>Brace</td>
<td>Resolved</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>7 F 8</td>
<td>Back &amp; rib pain</td>
<td>Neg.</td>
<td>T6 compression &amp; vertebra plana</td>
<td>2 negative biopsies</td>
<td>43</td>
<td>LCH/Hist</td>
<td>N/A</td>
<td>Ongoing</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>8 F 4</td>
<td>Back &amp; thoracic pain</td>
<td>Neg.</td>
<td>T12, 10th rib, iliac crest</td>
<td>Biopsy</td>
<td>52</td>
<td>LCH, Histio, EG/Histio, CD1a, S100, BBB</td>
<td>Chemo 10 wk, steroids</td>
<td>Ongoing</td>
</tr>
</tbody>
</table>

*Age at presentation
†All but case 5 underwent skeletal survey; all underwent bone scanning; all underwent CT (solitary lesion in C3 anterior body in case 5).
BBB = Birbeck granules; Bx = biopsy results; CD1a = CD1a antigens; Chemo = chemotherapy; CT = computed tomography; Dx = diagnosis; EG = eosinophilic granuloma; Eo = eosinophils; ESR = erythrocyte sedimentation rate; F/U = follow-up; Giant = giant cells; Histio = histiocytes; Histio/HistioX = LCH long erath cell histiocytes; MRI = magnetic resonance imaging; MSK = musculoskeletal; N = test not conducted; N/A = data not available; Neg. = test conducted with negative results; PMHx = medical history; PRN = as needed basis; Radio = radiotherapy; RF = rheumatic fever; S100 = S100 antigens; SLR = straight leg raising; Visc. = visceral involvement; Y = positive finding or investigation conducted.
were noted in 2 children on physical examination: 1 child had an abnormal gait and polyuria, the other had a positive straight-leg-raising test at 30° and constipation.

Radiologic investigations consisted of plain radiography, bone scanning and CT in all cases. Skeletal survey and ultrasonography to determine visceral involvement was performed in 7 children and MRI was performed in 4 (Fig. 1, Fig. 2). Multiple bony and systemic lesions were found in 7 children, and 1 had a single solitary lesion located at C3. A total of 11 thoracic vertebrae were involved, ranging from T5 to T11. Two cervical vertebrae were involved, affecting C3 in both cases, and 2 lumbar vertebrae were involved, affecting L1 and L4. Vertebra plana was noted in 4 children, involving the vertebral body of T4, T6 and the vertebral body of C3 (2). In both children who presented with possible neurologic symptoms, investigations confirmed that the epidura was involved in 1, and 50% canal compromise was found in the other (Fig. 1).

Procedures consisted of a biopsy attempt in all cases; however, positive results were found in only 6 children; multiple attempts were made in the other 2, and all gave negative results. Laboratory investigations at the time of presentation were variable. The erythrocyte sedimentation rate (ESR) was measured routinely and found to range from 12 to 52 mm/h in the 8 children.

Diagnosis was based on positive biopsy results when available, and in the 2 children with negative results was correlated with the clinical presentation. In 6 children the diagnosis was LCH exhibiting multiple lesions and in 2 as LCH with solitary lesions. Tissue biopsies demonstrated histiocytes, Langerhans cells, eosinophils, giant cells and Birbeck granules (Fig. 3).

Bracing was used in 3 cases and consisted of either a body cast followed by a thoracolumbar sacral or-

---

Table 2

Summary of data from literature

<table>
<thead>
<tr>
<th>Study*</th>
<th>No. cases</th>
<th>Age, range yr</th>
<th>Region affected</th>
<th>Neurologic deficits</th>
<th>Imaging</th>
<th>Biopsy</th>
<th>Treatment undergone or suggested</th>
<th>Outcome</th>
</tr>
</thead>
<tbody>
<tr>
<td>Dickinson et al† Literature review and case report</td>
<td>33</td>
<td>2-58</td>
<td>C-spine</td>
<td>14 cases</td>
<td>SS, detailed imaging</td>
<td>Y</td>
<td>Immobilization if neurologically intact, surgery for instability or impending neurologic compromise</td>
<td>New bone lesions in 4 cases, 1 case with persistent neurologic deficit</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Gandolfi‡</td>
<td>1</td>
<td>17 M</td>
<td>T7</td>
<td>Gait and leg weakness, hyperreflexia</td>
<td>PR, SS</td>
<td>Y</td>
<td>Exploratory laminectomy, dissection of tumour and relief of cord compression</td>
<td>N/A</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Sweeney and Dauser§ Case report</td>
<td>1</td>
<td>10 M</td>
<td>T1</td>
<td>Hyperesthesia, muscle weakness, Babinski sign, ankle clonus</td>
<td>PR, SS, BS, CT, MRI</td>
<td>Y</td>
<td>Corticosteroids, excision of lesion with bone grafting and spinal fusion, halo vest for 3 mo</td>
<td>Resolving symptoms at 3 mo</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Scarpinati et al** Case report and literature review</td>
<td>1</td>
<td>13 M</td>
<td>C5</td>
<td>Weakness, tetraparesis</td>
<td>PR, CT, MRI</td>
<td>Y</td>
<td>Immobilization and steroids if neurologically intact, surgery for neurologic compromise</td>
<td>3-yr F/U with unremarkable CT and MRI</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Osenbach et al†† Case report</td>
<td>1</td>
<td>12 F</td>
<td>C2-spine, instability</td>
<td>Hyperactive reflexes with increased tone</td>
<td>PR, SS, CT, myelography</td>
<td>Y</td>
<td>Biopsy for atypical lesions, posterior fusion and anterior excision of lesion, halo vest for 3 mo</td>
<td>Smooth postop course, 3-yr F/U unremarkable</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Poulsen and Thommesen§§ Prospective case report</td>
<td>1</td>
<td>11 M</td>
<td>C2-3, subluxation</td>
<td>Shoulder pain</td>
<td>PR, SS, tomography</td>
<td>N</td>
<td>Observation with repeated plain radiography</td>
<td>At 9 yr F/U symptoms resolved with partial regeneration of lesion</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Baber et al††† Case report and literature review</td>
<td>19</td>
<td>5 M†</td>
<td>C-spine</td>
<td>Not†</td>
<td>PR, BS, CT</td>
<td>Y</td>
<td>Excision of lesion with posterior spinal fusion, postop radiotherapy†</td>
<td>No recurrence or deficit after 1 yr</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Current study, 2003 Review and literature review</td>
<td>8</td>
<td>3-11</td>
<td>C, T, L-spines</td>
<td>0 cases</td>
<td>SS, US, CT, MRI</td>
<td>Y</td>
<td>Observation if neurologically intact, decompression and stabilization for instability or impending neurologic compromise, chemotherapy for systemic involvement, 3-yr min. F/U</td>
<td>2 resolved, 5 improved, 1 recurrence</td>
</tr>
</tbody>
</table>

*Note that in studies with multiple cases, only the summary of suggested treatments are reported. In studies reporting only 1 case, the management is summarized along with suggested treatments.

†Case report only.

BS = bone scanning; C = cervical; F = female; F/U = follow-up; L = lumbar; M = male; N = no; N/A = data not available; postop = postoperative; PR = plain radiography; SS = skeletal survey; T = thoracic; US = ultrasonography; Y = yes.
thosis, a soft-tissue collar or a Jewett brace. The duration of bracing varied according to resolution of symptoms. Surgery for vertebral fusion was performed in 1 child with a thoracotomy and débridement of the lesion followed by anterior strut grafting. Adjuvant therapy consisted of the use of dexamethasone in 1 child and prednisone in 2 children. One child had radiotherapy (6 Gy). Two children received chemotherapy with vinblastine. One of them required a repeat 12-week course 6 years after the first.

Follow-up varied from 3 to 5 years (mean 3.4 yr). Three children are still undergoing scheduled follow-up, and 1 has a recurrence. Three children improved such that they had follow-up on a yearly or as-needed basis, and 2 had resolution of their lesions with follow-up as needed (Table 1).

Discussion

Our review suggests that treatment of LCH in the spine in the absence of multisystem disease or instability is treated with immobilization and observation. Surgery is reserved for patients with instability or neurologic deficit, and chemotherapy is reserved for systemic involvement.

The difficulty seems to be in making the diagnosis, given that the pathognomonic clinical picture for LCH has been questioned as a result of the presentation of unusual cases. Although some maintain that radiographically typical lesions do not require biopsy and can safely be followed with serial radiography, most advocate a tissue diagnosis. In our study, all of the children underwent biopsy, but the findings in 2 were inconclusive. In such cases, we believe that other "menacing" entities should be eliminated and the diagnosis then based on the clinical picture. In both children LCH was diagnosed; the treatment regimen was chemotherapy for systemic involvement in 1 child and observation in the other. Both are still being followed-up.

LCH involving the vertebra has no particular predilection for race, age or sex. However, the results of our review are in keeping with the current literature, which states that 80% of cases occur in children younger than 10 years of age. Our study revealed a female preponderance, which contradicts the sex distribution of other studies. Two cases of LCH involving the C3 vertebra were identified, whereas C2 was most often involved in a study of 39 cervical vertebrae by Dickinson and Farhat.

The most common presenting complaint (in 7 children) in this series was back or neck pain, and despite several studies reporting a history of trauma before presentation, only 2 children presented after minor trauma. This is in keeping with the findings in other studies that trauma
is usually absent but that minor trauma may induce pain by causing a pathological fracture.12

One study has shown that the ESR is elevated, findings that are similar to ours.13 However, in the study of Bertram and associates1 the ESR was not elevated, a finding that is in keeping with the findings in the majority of studies reporting inconclusive laboratory results.

In our study the thoracic spine was most often involved, in agreement with reports in the literature.1,8,12 In 1995 there were only 35 documented cases of vertebral LCH, and only 3 of solitary LCH were found to have caused spinal cord compression.10 The cord was not involved in any of our patients. However, the epidural was involved in 1 child and there was 50% canal compromise in another.

With respect to imaging, all of our children underwent CT, and MRI was performed in 4 children when the degree of involvement was questionable or when there was clinical evidence of spinal cord compression. A recent study advocates MRI as the procedure of choice for staging multifocal LCH in the skull and central nervous system, as well as for monitoring response to therapy.15

Three children with systemic involvement in our study received chemotherapy, and this regimen is advocated by others.1,10,12 Similarly, only 1 child underwent radiotherapy. The use of radiation has fallen out of favour despite having been reported to be as effective as immobilization or surgery. Radiotherapy has been shown to promote healing and lead to a more rapid reduction in pain than other modes of treatment. Whether radiation adversely affects the enchondral ossification centres and limits the regrowth potential of the vertebral body continues to be debated.12 However, recent literature supports the notion that radiotherapy can destroy the vertebral end plates and pose a risk for secondary malignancy or radiation myelitis.1 Although our findings do not adequately reflect the use of radiotherapy, it should not be use for all cases of LCH but may be of value for cases in which the disease continues to progress.1

Only 1 child required surgery, through a right thoracotomy with débridement of the body of T9 followed by anterior strut grafting. Although surgery for instability is recommended by others,1,10,12 it is not clear what criteria they used for instability.

Follow-up investigations will depend on the nature and location of the presenting lesion. It is recommended that the imaging investigation that demonstrates the abnormal finding at the time of diagnosis be repeated at routine follow-up visits. However, for the majority of our patients, radiography has been the method of choice, and MRI has been advocated for assessing the response to treatment.15

Outcome results of our study demonstrate that over an average 3-year follow-up, 2 patients have had resolution of their lesions, 5 have improved, and 1 patient has had a recurrence. Similar studies suggest that follow-up of at least 4 years is required and advise long-term follow-up of these patients.16 The 2 children who presented with clinical findings suggestive of neurologic involvement did not have any evidence of spinal cord or nerve involvement on imaging.

Potential problems with this study are those attributed to retrospective reviews and the small case sample (owing to the rarity of LCH involving the vertebra). Also, our study population had predominantly disseminated LCH. Some may argue that this confounds the results; however, there is no clear evidence to indicate that these may be 2 distinct entities. It may also indicate that our observations are of a different population from those of the other studies. Further, most of the data were collected prior to the nomenclature adopted in 1997, so the diagnosis reported could possibly be different.

Summary and conclusions

Our results support the concept of solitary osseous LCH as a benign self-limiting disorder when systemic dis-

FIG. 3. Case 8. Histologic sections show an atypical histiocytic infiltrate (A; hematoxylin-phloxine-saffron stain, original magnification ×25), eosinophils in the background (B; Giemsa stain, original magnification ×25), positive CD1a staining (C; original magnification ×25) and Birbeck granules on electron microscopy (D; original magnification ×55 000).
case is absent and suggest therapeutic conservatism as recommended by others. Based on our results and review of the literature (Table 2), we recommend that any child with suspected solitary LCH of the vertebra undergo a full diagnostic investigation consisting of a skeletal survey and abdominal ultrasonography to rule out multiple lesions. Although bone scanning is not sensitive for LCH, it is also recommended because it is important to rule out other diagnoses. CT is warranted for the vertebral lesion followed by MRI if there is any question of neural involvement. A biopsy is highly recommended for a diagnosis at the time of presentation and should be attempted in any suspicious lesion that is easily accessible using the least invasive technique such as fine-needle aspiration. However, in the case of solitary LCH involving a remote region such as the anterior vertebral body, a CT-guided biopsy or an open biopsy would be more appropriate. If there is any neural involvement, then decompression and stabilization are warranted. There is no role for routine radiotherapy. However, there may be a role for radiotherapy when the disease continues to progress. Chemotherapy is reserved for multiple systemic lesions. Follow-up should be a minimum of 3 years or until symptoms or the lesion has resolved. Follow-up investigations should be individualized but should consist of radiography and MRI. Bracing and the use of steroids were not consistently used in our review, and so their value in the treatment regimen cannot be evaluated.
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