

Cost factors in Canadian pediatric trauma

Andrew Dueck, MD; Dan Poenaru, MD; David R. Pichora, MD

Objectives: To estimate the costs of Canadian pediatric trauma and identify cost predictors. **Design:** A chart review. **Setting:** A regional trauma centre. **Study material:** The charts of all 221 children who suffered traumatic injuries with an Injury Severity Score (ISS) of 4 or more seen over 6 years at a regional trauma centre. **Main outcome measures:** Patient data, injury data, all hospital-based costs, excluding nursing, food and medication costs. **Results:** Mean (and standard deviation) patient age was 12.8 (5) years. Sixty percent were boys. Motor vehicle accidents (MVAs) accounted for 71% of the injuries, followed by falls (11%). The mean (and SD) total cost of care was Can\$7582 (Can\$12 370), and the cost of media was Can\$2666. Total cost correlated directly with age ($r = 0.29, p < 0.001$) and Injury Severity Score (ISS) ($r = 0.34, p < 0.001$) and inversely with the Pediatric Trauma Score (PTS) ($r = -0.20, p = 0.003$). The presence of extremity injuries correlated significantly with total cost ($r = 0.22, p = 0.001$) and PTS ($r = -0.25, p < 0.001$) but not with the ISS. Logistic regression analysis identified trunk injury, ISS and PTS as the main determinants of survival. **Conclusions:** The cost of pediatric trauma in Canada can be predicted from admission data and trauma scores. The cost of extremity injuries is significant and can be predicted by the PTS but not the ISS.

Objectifs : Estimer les coûts des traumatismes pédiatriques au Canada et définir des prédicteurs de coûts. **Conception :** Étude de dossiers. **Contexte :** Centre régional de traumatologie. **Matériel d'étude :** Les dossiers des 221 enfants qui ont subi des lésions par traumatisme dont l'indice de gravité (IGT) s'établissait à 4 ou plus et qui ont été accueillis en 6 ans à un centre régional de traumatologie. **Principales mesures de résultats :** Données sur les patients, données sur les traumatismes, tous les coûts de l'hôpital, sauf ceux des soins infirmiers, des aliments et des médicaments. **Résultats :** L'âge moyen (et l'écart type) des patients était de 12,8 (5) ans. Soixante pour cent étaient des garçons. Les accidents impliquant des véhicules automobiles (AVA) ont causé 71 % des traumatismes, suivi des chutes (11 %). Le coût total moyen (et ET) des soins s'est établi à 7582 \$ CAN (12 370 \$ CAN) et le coût des substances de contraste a atteint 2666 \$ CAN. On a établi un lien direct entre le coût total et l'âge ($r = 0,29, p < 0,001$) et l'indice de gravité des traumatismes (IGT) ($r = 0,34, p < 0,001$) et un rapport inverse avec l'indice des traumatismes pédiatriques (ITP) ($r = -0,20, p = 0,003$). Il y avait un lien important entre les traumatismes aux membres et le coût total ($r = 0,22, p = 0,001$) et l'ITP ($r = -0,25, p < 0,001$) mais aucun lien avec l'IGT. Selon une analyse de régression logistique, les traumatismes au tronc, l'IGT et l'ITP sont les principaux déterminants de la survie. **Conclusions :** Il est possible de prédire le coût des traumatismes pédiatriques au Canada à partir des données sur l'admission et des indices sur les traumatismes. Le coût des traumatismes aux membres est important et il est possible de le prévoir au moyen de l'ITP, mais non au moyen de l'IGT.

Traumatic injuries are the leading cause of death in children.¹ In order to predict mortality and to better allocate hospital resources, numerical trauma scores were devised. Several such scores now exist, each differing in the factors included. Examples are

the Injury Severity Score (ISS),² Abbreviated Injury Score (AIS),³ Revised Trauma Score (RTS),⁴ Pediatric Trauma Score (PTS),^{4,5} APACHE II score,⁶ A Severity Characterization of Trauma (ASCOT)⁷ and the Trauma and Injury Severity Score (TRISS).⁸

In addition to potentially devastating medical and emotional effects, traumatic injuries are accompanied by a significant consumption of health care resources. Several studies have examined the costs of pediatric trauma, and found compensation to

From the Department of Surgery, Queen's University, Kingston, Ont.

Presented at the annual meeting of the Canadian Association for Paediatric Surgeons, Toronto, Ont. 1998.

Accepted for publication Jan. 20, 2000.

Correspondence to: Dr. Dan Poenaru, Department of Surgery, Kingston General Hospital, 76 Stuart St., Kingston ON K7L 2V7; fax 613 545-3203, poenarud@qgh.kari.net

© 2001 Canadian Medical Association

health care providers to be adequate in the United States.^{9,10} Equivalent studies in Canada are lacking. In this study, we sought to estimate the cost of Canadian pediatric trauma care and determine any predictors of higher cost and resource use.

Patients and methods

The charts of children (under 18 years of age) suffering traumatic injuries with an Injury Severity Score (ISS) of 4 or more, admitted to a Canadian regional trauma centre between Apr. 1, 1991, and Mar. 28, 1997, were reviewed. The ISS of 4 or more was used to limit the series to significant injuries.^{11,12} Patients with incomplete charts were excluded from the study.

A list of eligible patients was generated using our institution's trauma database. Charts were reviewed for demographic information, including gender, date of birth, date of injury, mechanism and body region of injury. The ISS had been prospectively recorded in the trauma database. Components of the Pediatric Trauma Score (PTS)⁵ (blood pressure, weight, presence of open wounds or fractures, airway and neurologic status) were collected from charts retrospectively.

Operative records were reviewed for timing and duration of operations as well as procedures performed. Total cost of surgical care was determined as follows: (hourly operating room rate × operating room time) + (hourly anesthesia rate × anesthesia time) + recovery room cost + surgeon's initial assessment + cost of all procedures.

Postanesthesia time in the recovery room was estimated at 1 hour for all patients, which has been shown to closely approximate actual times (K. Hawley, K. Fern, T. Smith, B. Zee, D. Pichora: unpublished data).

Charts were also reviewed for resource use. This included the use of blood products, days spent on mechanical ventilation, and length of stay in the intensive care unit, neonatal in-

tensive care unit and regular ward. All the costs included represented charges rather than real costs since the former are the only ones commonly available. Hospitalization costs did not include nursing, allied professionals' costs, physiotherapy, occupational therapy, etc., food and medication costs. Nursing, allied professionals' costs and food costs were unavailable. Costs for medications were not included because it has been shown that they have a negligible impact on overall costs (K. Hawley, K. Fern, T. Smith, B. Zee, D. Pichora: unpublished data).

Statistical analysis included descriptive statistics of all demographic variables. Pearson's correlation and Spearman's rank correlation were used to examine the relationship between cost of care and various patient variables. Analysis of variance (ANOVA) was used in identifying significant trends in the characteristics of injuries by mechanism and body site. Stepwise logistic regression analysis was carried out, using survival as the dependent outcome variable. The logistic regression equation has the following form:

$$p | \text{outcome} = \text{death} = \frac{\exp(-b_0 - b_1x_1 - \dots - b_kx_k)}{1 + \exp(-b_0 - b_1x_1 - \dots - b_kx_k)}$$

where p = probability; \exp = natural logarithm; $b_0, b_1 \dots b_k$ equal coefficients to be estimated; $x_1, x_2 \dots x_k$ equal independent variables. Starting with a constant model having b_0 as the only parameter, variables were entered into the equation only if their addition increased the likelihood of the model by a significant p value using 2-tailed test of significance.¹³

Data were stored on Excel spreadsheets. All analyses were performed using SPSS for Windows software version 7.5 (SPSS, Chicago). α was set at 0.05.

Results

The study group comprised 223 children. Two children with incomplete records were excluded. Of the

221 children injured, 132 (59.7%) were boys. Motor vehicle accidents (69.8%) were responsible for most accidents, followed by falls (11.1%), sports injuries (4.9%), bicycle accidents (2.2%) and child abuse (1.3%). Other mechanisms (including gunshot wounds, injuries involving trampolines, horses, cows, dog bites, diving and toboggan injuries) accounted for the remaining 10.7% of injuries.

The mean (and standard deviation) patient age was 12.8 (5) years, median 14 years. The age was also considered according to mechanism of injury (Table 1). The trauma scores and body sites injured are also shown in Table 1. ANOVA showed that the differences in all of these variables by mechanism of injury were statistically significant. Table 2 shows the relationship between mechanism of injury and the hospital stay and costs. The longer hospital stay and associated higher cost of MVAs is apparent.

The percentage of children injuring each body region was calculated. In our sample 71.5% of children had a head injury, 39.5% injured their trunk, 20% sustained upper extremity injuries and 24% lower extremity injuries. There were 19 trauma-related deaths in our study, giving a mortality of 8.6%.

The breakdown of total hospital costs is shown in Table 3. The total direct costs for our sample was Can\$1 675 734. The mean cost per patient was Can\$7583 (\$12 370). The median cost, however, was only Can\$2666. The costs for MVAs were significantly higher than for other injury types (Table 2).

The mean cost for the 19 children who died was Can\$8659, an amount not statistically different from that for the 202 children who survived.

Total cost correlated significantly with age ($r = 0.29, p < 0.001$), ISS ($r = 0.34, p < 0.001$) and PTS ($r = -0.20, p < 0.003$). The mean cost for children with an ISS of 12 was Can\$11 006 whereas the cost for those with an ISS less than 12 was

Table 1
Patient Variables by Mechanism of Injury in Children Who Suffered Trauma

Mechanism of injury	No. of children	Variable			No. of body regions
		Age, yr	ISS	PTS	
Motor vehicle accident	154	13.8	21.4	7.1	1.7
Fall	26	9.8	11.7	8.9	1.1
Sports	24	11.7	12.1	9.4	1.1
Other	17	10.5	16.5	8.3	0.9
Total/mean	221	12.8	18.8	7.7	1.5
<i>p</i> value (ANOVA)		0.001	0.001	0.006	< 0.001

ISS = Injury Severity Score, PTS = Pediatric Trauma Score.

Table 2
Length of Hospital Stay and Hospital Costs According to Mechanism of Injury

Mechanism of injury	Hospital stay, d	Hospital costs, Can\$
Motor vehicle accident	14.8	8778
Fall	4	5016
Sports	12.1	5695
Other	4	3229

Can\$1778 ($p < 0.001$). Extremity injury correlated with total cost ($r = 0.22$, $p < 0.001$) and PTS ($r = -0.25$, $p < 0.001$) but not ISS. Injuries to other body components did not influence the cost.

Finally, by logistic regression analysis, performed using survival as the dependent outcome variable, 96.8% of possible outcomes were correctly predicted by an equation including the following 3 factors: PTS ($p = 0.0005$), ISS ($p = 0.0065$), and trunk injury ($p = 0.0046$). The equation has the following form:

$$p | \text{death} | = \frac{\exp[-6.83 - 0.76 * PTS + 0.14 * ISS + 4.6 * (\text{trunk})]}{1 + \exp[-6.83 - 0.76 * PTS + 0.14 * ISS + 4.6 * (\text{trunk})]}$$

where "trunk" equals 1 if truncal injury was present and 0 if it was not.

Discussion

Trauma is a significant cause of morbidity and mortality in children,

Table 3
Breakdown of Total Hospital Costs for Children Admitted to Hospital With Traumatic Injuries

Type of cost	% of total
Ward	38
Intensive care unit	27
Operating room	16
Surgeon	7
Blood products	5
Ventilation	4
Anesthesia	3

and as such it has received much attention in the literature. The demographic profiles of pediatric trauma in the literature show considerable variation. In our study, 60% of children were male, consistent with other reports suggesting a preponderance of boys, ranging from 58.8% to 78.4%.^{10,14-17} Most injuries in our series were due to MVAs (69.8%), a pattern that is mostly supported by the literature,¹⁸⁻²¹ although some studies identify falls as the most frequent cause of injury.^{1,17,21} The noteworthy absence of penetrating trauma in our series reflects the Canadian non-metropolitan setting of the study. The average age of patients in our study (12.8 years) was slightly higher than that found in other studies.^{10,15,19} Differences in trauma centre referral patterns and characteristics of the populations at risk may account for these differences. The breakdown of injuries by body site shows an overwhelming representation of head injuries, a pat-

tern consistent with the reports in the literature.²¹ The death rate of 8.6% in our series is slightly higher than that usually reported.^{17,19}

Resource consumption by pediatric trauma is a critical factor in resource allocation and the provision of health care. Trauma costs can be divided into direct and indirect costs. Direct costs include goods and services used to treat the actual injury, such as the cost of hospital stays, doctors' fees and medications. Indirect costs relate to goods and services lost due to injury or disability.²² The latter are more difficult to quantify because by definition they deal with potential rather than incurred losses. Several studies have attempted to quantify indirect costs, estimating them in the United States at \$5.4 billion yearly, with total (direct and indirect) trauma costs exceeding \$7 billion.^{22,23}

The current study was concerned only with direct costs. Reports of direct costs in the literature range from \$5000 to \$25 000 per hospital stay.^{9,10,14,18,19,24} Our mean value of total costs per patient (Can\$7582) is at the lower end of this range, consistent with the pattern of lower health care costs in Canada.^{9,10,12,18,21,23} It should be noted, however, that direct comparisons of cost are difficult because of the variability in inclusion criteria. The compensation mechanism in Canada is provincial, and in Ontario involves lump-sum transfers from the Ministry of Health to treating institutions based on an ISS bracket. The current formula in Ontario funds pediatric trauma only for an ISS of 12.²⁵ The actual funding per case varies each year, although it usually approximates Can\$15 000. This amount is slightly higher than our estimated cost of Can\$11 000 for each patient with an ISS of 12, although our costs are non-inclusive and therefore underestimate true costs to the institution. Based on this same formula, our study group would have generated Can\$2 085 000 in ministry funding (139 children had an ISS of 12). This amount compares

favourably with the total cost estimated at Can\$1 675 734, albeit being rather insensitive to individual cases.

As expected, both trauma scores showed significant correlations with total cost in our study. A similar pattern of increasing costs with higher ISS scores has been reported.⁹ In our study, the PTS appeared to be a slightly poorer predictor of cost than the ISS, despite previous reports showing their equivalence.¹⁶ The PTS did, however, correlate strongly with the presence of extremity injuries. This may be owing to the inclusion in the PTS of a category for fractures and lacerations. The ISS considers only the most severely injured body region, potentially missing extremity injuries. Our observation that increasing age correlated with increasing cost is also supported by the literature.¹⁴ This may reflect the higher frequency of resource-intensive MVAs in the older age group.

An analysis of our data revealed the prominence of MVAs in terms of both severity of injury and cost of care. Children involved in MVAs tended to have more body regions injured, lower PTS and higher ISS scores. They had longer stays in hospital and had significantly higher costs than other patients. Intuitively, these patients tended to be older.

Head injuries were very common in our study, yet their presence did not appear to have an impact on the cost of care. This may be the result of the common finding of associated injuries in head trauma patients and the nondiscriminating effect of head injury compared with the other injuries. The presence of truncal or extremity injuries on the other hand did have an impact on the total cost. This is evidenced both by the statistically significant correlations and by the inclusion of trunk injuries in the logistic regression equation. Truncal injuries, such as chest trauma or solid visceral rupture, are often critical injuries carrying significant morbidity. Extremity injuries often require surgical interventions and prolonged

hospital stay. Results from our centre have shown that patients with injuries to multiple extremities require surgical intervention in 86% of cases and their cost of care is nearly 3 times greater than for other trauma patients (K. Hawley, K. Fern, T. Smith, B. Zee, D. Pichora: unpublished data).

There are several limitations to the current study. The study sample is relatively small and is limited to one particular trauma centre. Other trauma centres, especially if located in different geographical settings (e.g., metropolitan areas), may have a different population of injuries and therefore different costs. The costs derived are clearly underestimates, as important components of the hospital stay could not be included in the calculations, for practical reasons. Finally, the cost estimates cover only the initial hospital admission, which naturally reflects only a fraction of the total, long-term cost of each injury to society.

Conclusions

Canadian pediatric trauma carries a significant cost, which correlates with trauma scores, age and body region injured. Resource allocation may be enhanced if all of these factors are taken into account. Further studies are required to explore the long-term costs after pediatric trauma in the Canadian setting.

References

1. Shanon A, Bashaw B, Lewis J, Feldman W. Nonfatal childhood injuries: a survey at the Children's Hospital of Eastern Ontario. *CMAJ* 1992; 146: 361-5.
2. Baker SP, O'Neill B, Haddon W Jr, Long WB. The injury severity score: a method for describing patients with multiple injuries and evaluating emergency care. *J Trauma* 1974;14(3): 187-96.
3. Committee on Injury Scaling. *Abbreviated injury scale, 1980 revision*. Des Plaines (IL): The American Association for Automotive Medicine; 1980.
4. Eichelberger MR, Gotschall CS, Sacco WJ, Bowman LM, Mangubat EA, Lowenstein AD. A comparison of the trauma score, the revised trauma score, and the pediatric trauma score. *Ann Emerg Med* 1989;18(10):1053-8.
5. Kaufmann CR, Maier RV, Rivara FP, Carrico JC. Evaluation of the Pediatric Trauma Score. *JAMA* 1990;263:69-72.
6. Rhee KJ, Baxt WG, Mackenzie JR, Willits NH, Burney RE, O'Malley RJ, et al. APACHE II scoring in the injured patient. *Crit Care Med* 1990;18(8):827-30.
7. Champion HR, Copes WS, Sacco WJ, Frey CF, Holcroft JW, Hoyt DB, et al. Improved predictions from a severity characterization of trauma (ASCOT) over Trauma and Injury Severity Score (TRISS): results of an independent evaluation. *J Trauma* 1996;40(1):42-9.
8. Kaufmann CR, Maier RV, Kaufman EJ, Rivara FP, Carrico CJ. Validity of applying adult TRISS analysis to injured children. *J Trauma* 1991;31(5): 7691-8.
9. Harris BH, Bass KD, O'Brien MD. Hospital reimbursement for pediatric trauma care. *J Pediatr Surg* 1996;31:78-81.
10. Levy EN, Griffith JA, Carvajal HF. Pediatric trauma care is cost effective: a comparison of pediatric and adult trauma care reimbursement. *J Trauma* 1994;36:504-7.
11. Xiaohan H, Wesson DE, Logsetty S, Spence LJ. Functional limitations and recovery in children with severe trauma: a one-year follow-up. *J Trauma* 1994;37: 209-13.
12. Wesson DE, Williams JL, Spence LJ, Filler RM, Armstrong PF, Pearl RH. Functional outcome in pediatric trauma. *J Trauma* 1989;29(5):589-92.
13. Cox DR. *The analysis of binary data*. New York: Halsted, 1970.
14. Hartzog TH, Timerding BL, Alson RL. Pediatric trauma: enabling factors, social situations, and outcome. *Acad Emerg Med* 1996;3:213-20.
15. Wesson DE, Scorpio RJ, Spence LJ, Kenney BD, Chipman ML, Netley CT, et al. The physical, psychological, and socioeconomic costs of pediatric trauma. *J Trauma* 1992;33(2):252-7.
16. Cosentino CM, Barthel MJ, Reynolds M. The impact of level 1 pediatric trauma center designation on demographics and financial reimbursement. *J Pediatr Surg* 1991;26:306-11.

17. Chan BS, Walker PJ, Cass DT. Urban trauma: an analysis of 1,116 pediatric cases. *J Trauma* 1989;29:1540-7.
18. Imami ER, Clevenger FW, Lampard SD, Kallenborn C, Tepas JJ 3rd. Throughput analysis of trauma resuscitations with financial impact. *J Trauma* 1997;42(2):204-8.
19. Pelet, MH, Newman KD, Eichelberger MR, Gotschall CS, Guzzetta PC, Anderson KD, et al. Patterns of injury in children. *J Pediatr Surg* 1990;25(1):85-91.
20. Dykes DH, Spence LJ, Desmond JB, Weston DE. Evaluation of pediatric trauma care in Ontario. *J Trauma* 1989;29:724-9.
21. Colombani, PM, Buck JR, Dudgeon, DL, Miller D, Haller JA Jr. One-year experience in a regional pediatric trauma center. *J Pediatr Surg* 1985; 20(1):8-13.
22. Guyer B, Ellers B. Childhood injuries in the United States: mortality, morbidity, and cost. *Am J Dis Child* 1990;144: 649-52.
23. MacKenzie EJ, Morris JA Jr, de Lissovoy GV, Smith G, Fahey M. Acute hospital costs of pediatric trauma in the United States: How much and who pays? *J Pediatr Surg* 1990;25(9):970-6.
24. MacKenzie EJ, Siegel JH, Shapiro S, Moody M, Smith RT. Functional recovery and medical costs of trauma: an analysis by type and severity of injury. *J Trauma* 1988;28(3):281-97.
25. Trypue J. Evaluation of the acute hospital-based trauma program. Report submitted to the Institutional Programs Unit, Institutional Health Group, and Ontario Ministry of Health, 1996.